102 MONITOR

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

VOLUME 8
No. 9
DECEMBER, 1978

EPA ADMINISTRATOR DOUGLAS M. COSTLE SPOKE BEFORE THE CONSERVATION FOUNDATION, NATIONAL WETLANDS SYMPOSIUM, LAKE BUENA VISTA, FLORIDA on NOVEMBER 7, 1978

EPA DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR BARBARA BLUM SPOKE AT A WORKSHOP ON WATER CLEANUP AND RECREATION: KEY INGREDIENTS FOR AN AMERICAN URBAN RENAISSANCE in CHICAGO, ILLINOIS on NOVEMBER 14, 1978

Questions or comments on this publication should be addressed to:

Editor
102 Monitor
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Federal Activities (A-104)
401 M Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0780

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.	Speech I by Douglas M. Costle	1
2.	Speech II by Barbara Blum	14
3.	State Index of EIS's Filed During October, 1978	19
4.	Agency Index of EIS's Filed During October, 1978	26
5.	102 Monthly Statement Summary	31
6.	Environmental Impact Statements Filed October, 1978	32
7.	Cumulative Summary Through October, 1978	84
8.	Subscription Information	85

For Release: 9:00 a.m. November 7, 1978

REMARKS BY DOUGLAS M. COSTLE
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ADMINISTRATOR
BEFORE THE CONSERVATION FOUNDATION
NATIONAL WETLANDS SYMPOSIUM
LAKE BUENA VISTA, FLORIDA
NOVEMBER 7, 1978

I appreciate this opportunity to join the Conservation Foundation in discussing "The National Stake in Wetlands." The Foundation has long been one of the key elements of the environmental movement in this country. You were among the early national organizations that worked tirelessly for the environment -- before the Santa Barbara oil spill, before Earth Day, and before EPA.

I feel like Henry Thoreau when Ralph Waldo Emerson visited him the morning after Thoreau's arrest for civil disobedience. Peering into Thoreau's jail cell, Emerson asked, "What are you doing in there, Henry?" Thoreau, looking out from behind the bars, replied, "What are you doing out there, Ralph?"

It's a warm and pleasant feeling to be back with Foundation friends and to be able to say to all those economists I've been dealing with lately, "What are you doing out there, Gentlemen?"

The wetlands issue provides a unique opportunity to reflect on the status of the environmental movement in these turbulent times. Its history contains many of the same ecological principles and emotional debates that are hallmarks of progress in the last decade.

"By the way, who is our speaker?" The answer was: "Some environmental nut from Washington who's going to tell us how to run our business."

This incident illustrates the paradox faced by government on almost every issue. No matter whether it's wages, prices, industrial safety, or environmental pollution, there is frequent conflict between short-term individual concerns and long-range social goals. Smog may damage the health of some -- but regulation to eliminate smog disturbs the political philosophy of others. Somehow we must reconcile the two.

Traditionally we have relied on the consensus of the majority to adjudicate difference of this kind. But in recent years we have seen the dramatic rise of powerful single issue constituencies, which through organization, financing and zeal often manage to disturb the social equilibrium in the pursuit of their single-minded objectives.

If we are to maintain a strong economy, overcome inflation, encourage innovation, protect the environment, defend the nation and redress social inequities -- all of which make up only <u>part</u> of our national agenda -- we require a degree of statesmanship that has seldom been demanded of us.

At every level of decision-making, from the personal to the corporate and the bureaucratic, we need to exercise the kind of self-discipline that will enable us to keep <u>all</u> of our objectives in perspective.

We cannot afford single-issue fanatacism from the right or from the left; from the environmentalist who, in the words of William Tucker, regards "everything we do to intervene in nature as wrong" to the unregenerate industrial polluter who regards earth, air and water as a personal dumping ground.

In his call for voluntary measures to combat inflation, I believe that President Carter is demonstrating a high order of statesmanship. For he recognizes that individual restraint is the key to slowing down the inflationary spiral. Only when individuals recognize the cumulative influence of their personal decisions can we achieve a lasting result for society as a whole. In a democracy, imposed solutions simply do not work for long.

But not even the war on inflation can become a single purpose issue that blots out equally pressing national concerns. When Fred Kahn, the President's anti-inflation chief, was asked how he felt about the inflationary impact of social programs, he answered that inflation is not the nation's only concern; we must still find solutions to poverty, disease, unemployment and housing. We must still find ways to clean up the environment and keep it clean -- even if these programs make the fight against inflation more difficult. The country has made substantial progress in reaching some of these objectives.

In the last year and a half, we have reduced unemployment from 9 percent to less than 6 percent, and created 6 million new jobs. The nation's real output has expanded by almost 20 percent, and the income of consumers after taxes and inflation is up by more than 15 percent. But our progress against unemployment in the

past three years has not been matched by progress against inflation.

The President's new program is aimed at changing that situation. It's aimed at:

--bringing down the federal deficit by strict spending limits and a moratorium on new income tax cuts;

--keeping the federal pay increase at 5.5 percent this year and imposing a hiring freeze on every other new vacancy;

--using the government to promote more competition;

--and establishing the Regulatory Council to review regulatory activities.

The core of this program is the President's price and pay standards. We expect companies to hold pay increases next year to 7 percent. Any price increases in each company should be at least one-half a percentage point lower than they were in 1976 and 1977.

These are voluntary standards. But the President is determined to use administrative actions to help ensure compliance -- such as purchasing only from companies that stay within pay and price standards, opening up markets to more imports when necessary, and cutting down regulatory rate increase requests.

This is a program that can work -- if we want it to. As Chairman of the President's Regulatory Council, I am personally committed to doing everything possible to help make it work.

As the Regulatory Council begins to confront the inflation issue, one of the key questions for us will be: how much do federal regulatory programs contribute to inflation? Frankly, this is not going to be a simple question to answer.

There are three basic ways in which regulations can aggravate inflation:

-- one is, if a regulation costs more than is needed to accomplish its goals.

--a second is, if a regulation that seems cost effective affect: a particularly vulnerable segment of the economy, and thus imposes excess costs. An example would be a regulatory burden that inhibits the addition of new production capacity, thereby keeping supply from meeting increased demand and driving up prices;

--a third is, if a regulation's costs exceed its benefits.

The third category is the one that is the most difficult to gauge. While it's usually easy to calculate costs of regulation, computing the benefits can be very tough.

For the so-called economic agencies, the calculations may not be hard to make.

For example, we're coming to understand that the costs of many anti-competitive forms of regulation outweigh the benefits.

That fact was dramatically shown when the Civil Aeronautics Board began the deregulation of the airline industry. The benefits in terms of savings for passengers, and higher profits for the airlines, have already been impressive.

Secretary of Transportation Adams indicates that proposals are under consideration now to deregulate the railroad and trucking industries. The Council will be looking vigorously at the deregulation issue.

It's in the area of health and safety regulation where the benefit calculations are more difficult to make.

These are benefits that can take many forms. They can show up when the air gets cleaner, causing reductions in levels of pollution-related diseases; when productivity rises as the workplace is made healthier and safer; when rivers that had been closed to fishing because of pollution are re-opened; when the highway death toll drops as a result of auto-safety innovations; and when controls on toxic chemicals prevent the kind of disruptions suffered by families in the Love Canal area of Niagara Falls.

Some of these benefits can be put in terms of dollars-and-cents. But not all of them. How can a price tag be put on an urban existence that is unmarred by smog, or on the knowledge that the toys being made for our youngsters are safe? And what is the dollar value on the peace of mind that comes from being assured that drinking water poses no threat of cancer?

Members of the National Association of Manufacturers have a reputation as tough minded managers, which is not a bad one to have in today's market. But it suggests that you may have a discreet and knowing smile for regulators who talk about the benefits of sunsets, safe toys and peace of mind. Let me relate a brief story on this subject.

A business acquaintance and I recently fell into discussion about environmental values. He asked where our support comes from:

"Who are these environmentalists," he asked.

"They're your family," I replied, "your wife, your children, your relatives. They all care about the environment."

My friend thought for a moment, then said, "You know, you're right, Doug. Maybe I'm an environmentalist. I appreciate having clean water and clean lakes.

But before I could enroll him in the Sierra Club, he added, "But why in hell do I have to meet that air pollution standard?"

My point is that, while people may not appreciate all regulatory benefits, most people appreciate some regulatory benefits. And they do assign a personal value to these activities.

Until we are able to develop analytical tools that can give us economic answers with precision, we will continue to carry out our regulatory responsibilities with caution, reasonableness, and common sense. Above all, we will see our tasks not simply in terms of government control or private actions, but as a stimulus to the private sector for innovation in solving its own quality of life problems.

Most government regulation has its roots in private failure to act in the public interest. With a greater mutuality of effort towards prevention, we can not only be more cost effective in environmental protection, but we can avoid the necessity of proliferating regulation. I believe this is in the best interests of all of us.

In my role as Chairman of the Council, I have already concluded - in discussions with my colleagues in other agencies and departments -- that there is a very serious determination throughout this administration to make regulation more effective; to eliminate unnecessary economic and administrative burdens; to do away with duplication,

overlaps and inconsistencies; and to accelerate our efforts to carry out more effective research, regulatory development, and enforcement.

I think you will find some significant new approaches in our regulatory agencies -- a greater emphasis on the big problems and on the setting of priorities; a pruning of the undergrowth of regulation which has sprung up over the years, often obscuring the total landscape of purposes and objectives.

The President reminds those of us in government that we are a community of interests, that sometimes individual sacrifice is necessary for the greater good of the nation. In some of our regulatory activities we have lost that sense of community. We have sometimes hidden the broader perspective behind a more narrow expediency. The Regulatory Council will attempt to restore that perspective, that sense of community. It will attempt to rationalize the complete body of government regulations.

That does not mean we will go through the regulatory calendar like a thresher, throwing out regulations by the bushel, or even by the peck. Nor does it mean that the door is open to a challenge of every regulation that may seem individually burdensome. It does mean a careful, studious examination of the total regulatory agenda.

We recognize that the body of federal rules we live with has evolved slowly as a response to legitimately perceived social needs. It can't be wiped away or reformed overnight.

There are 179 different federal agencies or entities involved in some form of regulation -- a figure that probably won't come as a surprise to any of you. Most of the 179 are in departments or Executive Branch agencies. But 59 are the so-called independent agencies, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Federal Trade Commission. These agencies are not subject to the President's control in the way that Cabinet departments and agencies are.

The Executive Branch regulatory agencies will all be members of the Council. The independent agencies have been invited to join, and we expect that many will do so.

These numbers may sound as though meetings of the Council will look like a GM stockholder's gathering. In fact, in most cases, Council members will represent two or more agencies. The group will be large, but manageable.

One of our first jobs will be to educate each other about the roles, and impacts, of our respective agencies.

We'll begin this effort when we prepare the first regulatory calendar -- a list of all major regulations coming up over the period of a year.

These calendars are to be drawn up every six months, starting next February. They'll help us get a sense of the cumulative impact of federal regulations. They'll also be used by the President's regulatory review group -- a high-level body that scrutinizes the economic impact of the 10 or 20 most significant regulations due out each year.

With the calendar as a starting point, we'll be finding out in detail what each agency is doing. From there, we'll move on to the next task -- identifying ways to end duplication, eliminate inconsistent approaches, cut redtape, and generally make sure the entire federal regulatory structure is as streamlined as we can make it.

Here's an example of what that might mean in practice. Last month, EPA began regulating the emissions of lead from smelters and other plants. On Monday, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration announced standards on lead in the workplace.

Both agencies worked closely on gathering the information needed to set their respective standards. And both will work together in looking at the impact of their regulations on the lead industry. The result should be a coordinated, rational approach that will spare the industry the headaches of having to deal with two totally separate and uncoordinated regulatory programs.

The Council will look for other opportunities for that kind of cooperation. And we expect they will often involve more than just two agencies.

At this stage in the Council's life, we can't begin to estimate what kind of savings might result from its efforts.

However, for the past year-and-a-half, EPA has been embarked on a fullscale program of regulatory reform. Some

of the changes we are making provide a clue to the Council's potential.

--EPA has streamlined the way we conduct adjudicatory hearings. We estimate the potential savings for companies involved will run as high as 30 percent.

--The Agency is cutting reporting requirements for some major sources of air pollution by 50 percent; and for some sources of water pollution by 75 percent.

--And we have redefined some water cleanup guidelines, saving $200 million for those companies that have cleaned up 98 percent of their pollution -- but faced equal costs in trying to deal with the last two percent.

EPA isn't alone in reforming its regulatory procedures; a lot of other agencies are doing the same. But the Council gives us the ability to coordinate across agency lines. That opens up a whole new level on which the streamlining process can take place.

The economists at Chase Manhattan Bank estimate that the private sector is forced to fill out more than 4,000 different federal forms each year. Last year this took more than 143 million man-hours at a cost -- including legal fees, salaries, benefits and overhead -- of $25 billion. That's enough confetti to welcome back Lindbergh about three times.

The Chase economists are not particularly bashful about dramatizing regulatory costs. And these paperwork figures go far beyond that required by regulatory agencies, but they do

portray the problem. And it is a problem which the Regulatory Council should be uniquely suited to combat. We should be able to cut the paperwork and redtape with some success.

In September EPA announced some significant changes in its new construction permitting program to cut costs, cut delays, and cut the hassle that businesses were experiencing in trying to build new facilities and meet pollution standards. Caterpillar Tractor Company brought the problem to our attention. And Exxon, 3M, Camp Dresser, McKee Industries and Stoddard Enterprises served on the task force that recommended the changes. That's the kind of industry cooperation we need.

It's time to quit harping about getting rid of regulations, and time to start working to improve them.

It's time to get off our soapboxes, and get around the conference table.

It's time to understand that this country is committed to its regulatory objectives, but is equally committed to cutting their unnecessary costs.

And we look to you for leadership in that understanding. We look to you for the ideas, suggestions, and cooperation that can make the Regulatory Council a success.

We're optimistic about success for several reasons:

--First, this isn't the regulatory agencies' Council; it's the President's Council. He has made it clear to all the agencies

involved that he won't be satisfied with window-dressing, nor with mountains of studies that never get acted on. He wants substantial, measurable results.

--Second, most of the agencies involved are already committed to reform.

--And finally, the member agencies aren't operating in a vacuum. They hear the insistent, and growing demands from the public for more intelligent, efficient and effective government. And they understand that, if they hope to achieve the goals set for them by Congress, they'll have to respond to those demands.

As the Council moves ahead with its work, there will be ample opportunity for you to judge its progress. It will be operating in full view of the public. We'll be working closely with the President, with Fred Kahn, and with others involved in the anti-inflation fight. We'll also be actively seeking to involve a broad range of outside groups -- and this association will certainly be among them.

I urge you to watch the Council's progress, and perhaps more important, to get involved in its work. As I suggested, if you're looking for miracles, you'll be disappointed. But if you're looking for solid progress in making federal regulation a more rational, coordinated and effective process, I'm confident your expectations can be met.

Thank you.

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE BARBARA BLUM
DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WORKSHOP ON WATER CLEANUP AND RECREATION

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS - NOVEMBER 14, 1978

WATER CLEANUP AND RECREATION: KEY INGREDIENTS
FOR AN AMERICAN URBAN RENAISSANCE

GOOD MORNING, THE NEXT TWO DAYS SHOULD BE RED-LETTER DAYS FOR THE BUILDING OF URBAN ENVIRONMENTALISM.

BECAUSE OF THE MAGNITUDE OF THE NATION'S LONG-TERM FINANCIAL COMMITMENT TO WATER CLEANUP AND BECAUSE OF PRESIDENT CARTER'S NATIONAL URBAN POLICY, THIS COUNTRY IS NOW IN A POSITION TO LAUNCH AN URBAN RENAISSANCE.

THE PRESIDENT'S URBAN POLICY CALLS FOR A NEW PARTNERSHIP... "INVOLVING ALL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT, THE PRIVATE SECTOR, AND NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS IN A MAJOR EFFORT TO MAKE AMERICA'S CITIES MORE ENJOYABLE PLACES IN WHICH TO WORK AND IN WHICH TO LIVE." COMBINING WATER CLEANUP AND RECREATION IS A KEY PART OF THE URBAN STRATEGY.

TO GET AN IDEA ABOUT WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ACCOMPLISHED BY COMBINING WATER CLEANUP WITH URBAN RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE OPPORTUNITIES...GLIDE DOWN THE RIVERWALK IN SAN ANTONIO. INVESTIGATE THE RESTORED NINETEENTH CENTURY MILL TOWN OF LOWELL, MASS. ALONG THE SHORES OF THE MERRIMAC RIVER. POKE ALONG THE BANKS OF THE HISTORIC BRANDYWINE IN PENNSYLVANIA AND THE RARITAN IN NEW JERSEY. TRACK THE SEASONAL FLIGHTS OF BIRDS IN NEW YORK'S JAMAICA BAY. FISH FROM PACIFICA'S HALF-MILE PIER JUTTING OUT INTO THE PACIFIC. EXPLORE THE WILLAMETTE RIVER GREENWAY OF OREGON...BIKE, HIKE, OR FLOAT DOWN THE CHATTAHOOCHEE IN ATLANTA. ...AND, WHEN YOU'RE NEXT IN WASHINGTON, TAKE A TRIP DOWN THE POTOMAC FROM GREAT FALLS TO MOUNT VERNON AND FEAST ON THE PANORAMA OF OUR NATION'S CAPITOL.

SINCE 1972, THE UNITED STATES HAS SPENT ABOUT $11 BILLION ON MUNICIPAL SEWAGE TREATMENT. IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE NATIONAL GOAL OF FISHABLE AND SWIMMABLE WATERS IN ALL FIFTY STATES, IN THE NEXT DECADE THE COUNTRY WILL SPEND AT LEAST $100 BILLION MORE ON SEWAGE TREATMENT AND WATER POLLUTION CONTROL.

IN ADDITION TO THIS LONG-TERM FINANCIAL COMMITMENT, THE CONGRESS, IN AMENDING THE CLEAN WATER ACT OF 1977, LINKED WATER CLEANUP WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE. THE AMENDED ACT REQUIRES THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR NOT MAKE A GRANT FOR A SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT UNLESS THE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES ARE CONSIDERED.

EPA AND THE DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR HAVE JUST COMPLETED A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING DESIGNED TO LINK THE PLANNING OF WATER CLEANUP WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF RECREATION...PARTICULARLY RECREATION IN AMERICA'S CITIES...AND MOST ESPECIALLY IN ITS INNER CITIES... WHERE RECREATION IS LEAST AVAILABLE AND MOST NEEDED.

COMBINING WATER CLEANUP AND RECREATION IS ONE OF THE SUREST WAYS I KNOW TO ACCELERATE THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN AMERICAN URBAN RENAISSANCE. BUT THIS RENAISSANCE NEEDS LEADERSHIP...IMAGINATION... CONVICTION...AND KNOW-HOW, QUALITIES WHICH YOU, THE PARTICIPANTS IN THIS WORKSHOP, HAVE IN ABUNDANCE.

WE'RE ALL HERE TO FIND WAYS TO USE THOSE QUALITIES TO INVOLVE AMERICANS, IN ALL FIFTY STATES, IN CREATING THE RECREATIONAL BENEFITS WHICH THE NEW CLEAN WATER ACT...THE PRESIDENT'S URBAN POLICY...AND THE EPA/INTERIOR AGREEMENT CALL FOR. I'M NOT GOING INTO THESE IN ANY DETAIL. I DO, HOWEVER, WANT TO POINT OUT THAT TOGETHER THEY CREATE A NEW AND GREATLY IMPROVED FRAMEWORK FOR ACCOMPLISHING OUR COMBINED WATER CLEANUP AND RECREATIONAL GOALS.

IT IS, THEREFORE, NO ACCIDENT THAT THIS WORKSHOP...SPONSORED JOINTLY BY EPA AND INTERIOR...IS THE NEW PARTNERSHIP IN MICROCOSM. AND IT'S NO ACCIDENT EITHER, THAT YOUR AGENDA TACKLES THE NUTS-AND-BOLTS PROBLEMS OF COMBINING WATER CLEANUP WITH RECREATION.

AS THE STATES TAKE OVER MORE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF EPA'S CONSTRUCTION GRANTS PROGRAMS, REGIONAL...STATE...AND LOCAL COOPERATION WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BECOMES MORE AND MORE ESSENTIAL.

THE PRESIDENT HAS WISELY CHARTED A COURSE WHICH WILL MAKE ALL OF US DO MORE WITH WHAT WE HAVE...COMBINE ADMINISTRATIVE RESOURCES WHEREVER WE CAN...AND COOPERATE IN ORDER TO RELEASE THE CREATIVE ENERGIES OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND AMERICAN ENTERPRISE.

THE COMBINING OF EPA'S WATER CLEANUP PROGRAMS WITH INTERIOR'S WIDE-RANGING RECREATION PROGRAMS WILL ALSO GIVE THE RECREATIONAL INDUSTRY A BOOST AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE MORE ACCESS TO OUTDOOR RECREATION.

EPA'S AND INTERIOR'S EFFORTS TO INCREASE WATER CLEANUP-BASED RECREATION RELY FOR THEIR SUCCESS ON COORDINATION WITH THE STATES' WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND WITH STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION PLANS. IN SHORT, IF THE COOPERATION CALLED FOR BY THIS SPECIFIC AGREEMENT AND MORE GENERALLY BY THE NEW PARTNERSHIP IS FORTHCOMING, THE NATION WILL BE REALIZING SIMULTANEOUSLY THE HEALTH AND RECREATIONAL BENEFITS MADE POSSIBLE BY A HUGE NATIONAL INVESTMENT IN WATER CLEANUP.

AS I SPEAK, OVER 6,000 AMERICAN MUNICIPALITIES ARE EITHER IN THE PLANNING OR THE CONSTRUCTION STAGES OF BUILDING SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS. IN THE NEXT DOZEN YEARS, AMERICA'S TOWNS AND CITIES WILL BE ACQUIRING THOUSANDS OF MILES OF INTERCEPTOR SEWERS. THESE CAN BECOME HIKING, BIKING, AND WALKING TRAILS...OR THEY CAN BECOME EYESORES AND BE LOST TO PUBLIC ACCESS.

IN THE NEXT TEN YEARS, THOUSANDS MORE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES WILL BE PLANNED AND THEN BUILT: AND IN THE PROCESS, THOUSANDS OF MILES OF WATERFRONT WILL SOAR IN VALUE AS RIVERS AND STREAMS ARE CLEANED UP. THESE CAN BECOME PARKS, GREENWAYS, FISHING AND BOATING AREAS, HIKING TRAILS, AND PLACES OF SCENIC PLEASURE..OR THEY CAN BE LOST TO UNCARING OR ILL-CONCEIVED DEVELOPMENT

IN THE PAST, THE DEVELOPMENT OF RECREATION FROM WATER CLEANUP DEPENDED LARGELY ON INDIVIDUAL INITIATIVE. THE FUTURE LIES WITH THE NEW PARTNERSHIP PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. HAVING WORKED FOR EIGHT YEARS TO MAKE THE CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER IN GEORGIA A NATIONAL URBAN RECREATIONAL AREA...I KNOW A BIT ABOUT WHAT IT TAKES TO MAKE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION THE DECIDING FACTOR, AS IT CAN AND SHOULD BE.

LIKE MANY CITIZENS I GOT INVOLVED PARTLY THROUGH ENLIGHTENED SELF-INTEREST AND PARTLY THROUGH A STRONG DESIRE TO MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT. MY HOME IS IN FULTON COUNTY A FEW MILES FROM DOWNTOWN ATLANTA. ONE DAY I DISCOVERED CONSTRUCTION STAKES AND A CLEARED SURVEY LINE HACKED THROUGH THE MOUNTAIN LAUREL AND RHODODENDRON BORDERING THE CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER AT THE FOOT OF THE STEEPLY WOODED RIDGE ON WHICH WE HAD JUST BUILT OUR HOME. ALARM BELLS RANG IN MY HEAD. I DID SOME HOMEWORK AND I DISCOVERED THAT FULTON COUNTY, WITH FUNDING FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, WAS ABOUT TO CONSTRUCT A MASSIVE SEWER LINE ON THE RIVER BANK TO SERVICE EXTENSIVE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANNED UPSTREAM.

THE SEWER LINE WASN'T GOING TO COST THE TAXPAYERS JUST DOLLARS...IT WAS GOING TO COST THEM THE SCENIC VALUE OF THE LAST REMAINING "WILDERNESS" RIVER NEAR A MAJOR METROPOLITAN AREA... THE LAST IN THE NATION. AND TO TOP IT ALL OFF A CONNECTOR LINE RUNNING FROM A PUMPING STATION WAS TO BE INSTALLED DIRECTLY DOWN THE FACE OF A FREE-FALLING 97-FOOT WATERFALL.

TWO CONCERNS DOMINATED MY THINKING. THE FIRST WAS WHAT WAS ABOUT TO HAPPEN...THE SLAUGHTER OF THE ENVIRONMENT AT THE TAXPAYERS' EXPENSE.

THE OTHER WAS A VISION OF PRESERVATION OF THE CHATTAHOOCHEE AS AN URBAN RECREATIONAL AREA.

ANGRY AT WHAT WAS ABOUT TO HAPPEN AND HOPEFUL OF WHAT MIGHT BE ACCOMPLISHED, I SET TO WORK TO HELP TURN AN IMMINENT ENVIRONMENTAL DISASTER INTO AN ENVIRONMENTAL ACHIEVEMENT.

AMONG OTHER THINGS I LEARNED WHAT IT'S LIKE TO RUN INTO INSENSITIVE BUREAUCRATS. I ALSO LEARNED WHAT IT WAS LIKE TO FIGHT ENTRENCHED INTERESTS, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE, THAT DIDN'T CARE ABOUT RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES. WHEN COUNTY OFFICIALS DISCOVERED MY OPPOSITION TO THEIR KIND OF PROGRESS, I WAS TOLD THAT THEY WOULD PAINT THE INTERCEPTOR (ABOUT 5 FEET IN DIAMETER, MIND YOU) GREEN...AND I COULD CHOOSE THE SHADE.

I DECIDED THE DEVELOPMENTAL SEWER WOULD NOT BE BUILT. FIRST I LEARNED EVERYTHING I COULD ABOUT THE PROJECT...ITS PURPOSES... HOW IT WAS BEING PAID FOR...WHAT GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WERE INVOLVED...AND PRECISELY WHAT HAD HAPPENED IN THE PLANNING PROCESS WHICH HAD BEEN SO INSENSITIVE TO THE RECREATION AND PRESERVATION POSSIBILITIES.

I THEN USED EVERY WEAPON AVAILABLE TO ME, INCLUDING NEWS CONFERENCES AT THE FOOT OF THE WATERFALL. COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS BETWEEN THE ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS TO WHICH I BELONGED PROVED TO BE ANOTHER POWERFUL WEAPON. AS I WORKED WITH PLANNERS, ENGINEERS, ENVIRONMENTALISTS, FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, AND REGIONAL OFFICIALS, I FOUND OUT THAT MOST PEOPLE...PARTICULARLY URBAN PEOPLE...HAVE HAD IT WITH THE KIND OF PROGRESS THAT IS INSENSITIVE TO AND DESTRUCTIVE TO THE LAND AND OF NATURAL BODIES OF WATER.

THE BATTLE TO SAVE THE CHATTAHOOCHEE WAS WAGED WHEN CONSTRUCTION WAS ALL BUT UNDERWAY. WE WON ANYWAY...BUT THE TIME FOR ACTION IS AT THE VERY BEGINNING <u>BEFORE ANY GRANTS</u> HAVE BEEN MADE FOR PLANNING OR CONSTRUCTION.

THERE IS NO SUBSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. THE COMMUNITY MUST BE MADE AWARE OF ITS TERRAIN, ITS FINANCES, ITS NEEDS, AND ITS ASPIRATIONS. THROUGH PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT IT MUST BE MADE ABUNDANTLY CLEAR THAT THERE ARE RECREATION POSSIBILITIES WHICH THE COMMUNITY EXPECTS TO BE CAREFULLY CONSIDERED BY THE CONSULTING ENGINEER WHO WILL DO THE STEP ONE FACILITY PLAN. AS PROFESSIONALS, WE SHARE A COMMON OBLICATION TO SEE TO IT THAT THIS CRITICAL PLANNING STAGE INVESTIGATES RECREATIONAL AND OPEN SPACE POSSIBILITIES THOROUGHLY AND IMAGINATIVELY RATHER THAN JUST PAYING LIP-SERVICE TO ACCOMMODATE THE LAW.

ON A MULTI-COMMUNITY SCALE THE SAME THING GOES FOR THE AREA-WIDE OR 208 PLANNING PROGRAM AND THE NEW CLEAN LAKES PROGRAM. ALONG RIVERS, LARGE LAKES, OR THE OCEAN, STRINGS OF COMMUNITIES, ESPECIALLY METROPOLITAN AREAS, HAVE A VESTED INTEREST IN ACTING IN CONCERT.

A FEW WORDS OF ADVICE. DON'T BE TIMID. A LITTLE IDEA RARELY CATCHES PEOPLES' IMAGINATION. BRING IDEAS IN AND ENTERTAIN THEM ROYALLY...FOR ONE OF THEM MAY BE THE KING AND YOU MAY FIND THAT YOU HAVE TRANSFORMED YOUR CITY'S PLUMBING INTO SOCIETY'S PLUMMAGE.

FURTHERMORE, DON'T THINK THERE IS SOME, ONE, PREORDAINED, "RIGHT" SOLUTION. WATER POLLUTION CONTROL, RECREATION, AND LAND-USE PLANNING KNOW PRINCIPLES BUT FEW, IF ANY FORMULAS. THE KEY INGREDIENTS OF SUCCESS ARE IMAGINATION, KNOWLEDGE, ORGANIZATION, DETERMINATION,...AND ABOVE ALL...AN UNSHAKEABLE BELIEF THAT THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION WILL WORK FOR THOSE WHO AVAIL THEMSELVES OF IT

IF WE SEIZE THIS OPPORTUNITY AFFORDED BY THE FORMATION OF THE NEW PARTNERSHIP TO MAKE THE CITY AND NATURE INTERDEPENDENT, HARMONIOUS PARTS OF ONE HUMAN AND NATURAL COMMUNITY, WE WILL HAVE TAKEN A LONG STEP TOWARDS HELPING OUR CITIES ONCE AGAIN BECOME THE MAGNET AND THE STAGE FOR ALL THAT IS BEST ABOUT BEING HUMAN. AS I HAVE TRAVELED THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY, I HAVE FOUND THAT URBAN AMERICANS HAVE THE VISION AND THE DESIRE TO LAUNCH AN URBAN RENAISSANCE.

IF THIS WORKSHOP INSPIRES THE KIND OF IMAGINATIVE COOPERATION I KNOW IT IS CAPABLE OF FOSTERING, THE NEW PARTNERSHIP WILL HAVE TAKEN A SIGNIFICANT STEP TOWARDS THE REALIZATION OF A REVITALIZED URBAN AMERICA.

THANK YOU.

OCTOBER 1978

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Surface Mining Control & Reclamation Act, 501(b)	81061	10-03-78	DOI
		FINAL	Air Force Missle Mx: Milestone II	81093	10-06-78	DOD
		FINAL	Navigation Season Extension Demonstration, FY 79	81122	10-13-78	COE
		FINAL	Aquatic Plant Control Program, Mobile District	81131	10-17-78	COE
		SUPPLE	Atlantic Billfish/Shark, Preliminary Management	81170	10-27-78	DOC
Alabama	Elmore	FINAL	Grandview Pines, Ltd., Millbrook	81096	10-06-78	HUD
	Jefferson	FINAL	I-65-3(52)(53) and M-7257(1), Lewisburg to Warrior	81081	10-04-78	DOT
	Millbrook	FINAL	Grandview Pines, Ltd., Millbrook	81096	10-06-78	HUD
Alaska		DRAFT	Green Lake Project No 2818, Sitka	81163	10-25-78	DOE
	Several	DRAFT	Halibut off the Coast of Alaska, FMP	81150	10-20-78	DOC
		SUPPLE	Alaska National Interest Lands	81276	10-20-78	DOI
California	Lassen	FINAL	Tuledad-Home Camp Planning Unit, Grazing	81073	10-04-78	DOI
	Modoc	FINAL	Tuledad-Home Camp Planning Unit, Grazing	81073	10-04-78	DOI
	several	FINAL	Tahoe NF Timber Management Plan	81141	10-20-78	USDA
	Alameda	DRAFT	Ardenwood Village, Fremont	81077	10-04-78	HUD
		DRAFT	I-180, Hoffman Corridor, Albany and Richmond	81127	10-16-78	DOT
	Contra Costa	DRAFT	I-180, Hoffman Corridor, Albany and Richmond	81127	10-16-78	DOT
	Fresno	DRAFT	Riverview Estates, Fresno	81070	10-03-78	HUD
	Humboldt	SUPPLE	Humboldt Bay Harbor Marina, Woodley Island	81137	10-19-78	DOC
	Kings	FINAL	Corcoran Fringe Wastewater Facilities	81175	10-30-78	HUD
	Orange	FINAL	Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	81002	10-20-78	COE
	Riverside	FINAL	Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	81002	10-20-78	COE
	San Bernardino	FINAL	Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	81002	10-20-78	COE
	San Diego	FINAL	San Diego Naval Sta., Berthing/Repair Pier #2	81161	10-25-78	DOD
	San Francisco	FINAL	Southern Pacific, Discontinuance of Operation	81184	10-31-78	ICC
	San Mateo	FINAL	Southern Pacific, Discontinuance of Operation	81184	10-31-78	ICC
	Santa Clara	FINAL	Southern Pacific, Discontinuance of Operation	81184	10-31-78	ICC
	Solano	FINAL	Partial Development in Dover Valley, Fairfield	81075	10-04-78	HUD
Colorado		DRAFT	Coal Resources Development, Southcentral Wyoming	81119	10-13-78	DOI
		SUPPLE	Transportation of Chemical Material	81098	10-06-78	DOD
	ElPaso	SUPPLE	Fountain Valley Conduit, Fryingpan Arkansas Proj.	81133	10-16-78	DOI
	Pueblo	SUPPLE	Fountain Valley Conduit, Fryingpan Arkansas Proj.	81133	10-16-78	DOI

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	CEIG AGENCY NUMBER
Connecticut	New London	DRAFT	Niantic River Bridge and Approaches, Replacement	81086	10-05-78	DOT
District of Co		FINAL	Mt. Vernon Campus Square, University of DC	81173	10-27-78	GSA
Florida		DRAFT	Stone Crab, Fishery Management Plan, Gulf of Mex.	81171	10-27-78	DOC
	Manatee	DRAFT	Manatee Harbor, Channel Maintenance	81111	10-12-78	COE
Georgia		SUPPLE	Hartwell Lake, 5th Unit Installation, Savannah R.	81177	10-30-78	COE
	Clayton	DRAFT	I-75 Improvement, Cleveland & Central Avenues	81092	10-06-78	DOT
	Fulton	DRAFT	I-75 Improvement, Cleveland & Central Avenues	81092	10-06-78	DOT
	Gilmer	SUPPLE	The Appalachian Highway, GA-5	81115	10-13-78	DOT
Hawaii	Hawaii	FINAL	Kuakini Highway Realignment	81121	10-13-78	DOT
	Honolulu	DRAFT	Gentry-Waipio, Waipo, Oahu	81136	10-19-78	HUD
		FINAL	Kalanianaole Highway (FAP 72) Improvement	81134	10-16-78	DOT
Idaho	Bonner	DRAFT	Quartz Mountain Land Management Plan, Kaniksu NF	81181	10-31-78	USDA
	Ada	DRAFT	Landmark Planning Unit, Boise NF	81105	10-11-78	USDA
	Bear Lake	FINAL	Bear Lake Planning Unit, Caribou National Forest	81116	10-13-78	USDA
	Caribou	FINAL	Bear Lake Planning Unit, Caribou National Forest	81116	10-13-78	USDA
	Franklin	FINAL	Bear Lake Planning Unit, Caribou National Forest	81116	10-13-78	USDA
	Idaho	FINAL	Gospel Hump, Nezperce & Payette NF, Wilderness	81068	10-03-78	USDA
	Lewis	DRAFT	ID-64, Nezperce to Kamiah	81130	10-17-78	DOT
Illinois	Winnebago	DRAFT	Large Unnamed Creek, Loves Park	81100	10-10-78	COE
Iowa		FINAL	Dredging of the Missouri River Bridge	81088	10-06-78	COE
	Linn	DRAFT	I-151, Marion Bypass	81089	10-06-78	DOT
Kansas	Atchison	FINAL	Elk Creek Watershed Plan	81153	10-24-78	USDA

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Kansas	Jackson	FINAL	Elk Creek Watershed Plan	81153	10-24-78	USDA
	Nemaha	FINAL	Elk Creek Watershed Plan	81153	10-24-78	USDA
Kentucky	Several	SUPPLEC	bion Creek Project, (S-1)	81129	10-16-78	COE
	Trimble	FINAL	Trimble County Generating Station, Permit	81144	10-20-78	EPA
Louisiana	St. John the Baptist	FINAL	Belle Terre Development	81152	10-23-78	HUD
Maine		FINAL	Androscoggin Valley 208 Plan	81143	10-20-78	EPA
Maryland						
	Caroline	FINAL	MD-404, Denton Bypass	81178	10-30-78	DOT
	Garrett	FINAL	Youghiogbery State & National Wild & Scenic River	81083	10-05-78	DOI
Massachusetts	Bristol	DRAFT	Coal Conversion Program, Brayton Point	81123	10-13-78	DOE
	Hampden	FINAL	Courthouse, Federal Office Building, Springfield	81099	10-10-78	GSA
	Several	FINAL	SE Mass., 208 Water Quality Management Plan	81169	10-26-78	EPA
Michigan	Macomb	FINAL	Detroit Water Pollution Control Systems	81051	10-12-78	EPA
	Oakland	FINAL	Detroit Water Pollution Control Systems	81051	10-12-78	EPA
	Tuscola	FINAL	MI-24 Extension, Caro to MI-25 Unionville	81126	10-16-78	DOT
	Wayne	FINAL	Detroit Water Pollution Control Systems	81051	10-12-78	EPA
Minnesota	Koochiching	DRAFT	Voyageurs National Park, Master Plan	81135	10-19-78	DOI
	Otter Tail	FINAL	New Runway 13-31, Fergus Falls Airport	81082	10-05-78	DOT
	Scott	FINAL	MN-101, Shakopee By-pass	81085	10-05-78	DOT
	St. Louis	DRAFT	Voyageurs National Park, Master Plan	81135	10-19-78	DOI
Mississippi	Pike	FINAL	Beartown Flood Control RC&D Measures	81060	10-02-78	USDA
	Warren	DRAFT	Hatcher Bayou and Durden Creek	81166	10-26-78	COE

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Missouri	Nodaway	FINAL	Nozinco Creek Watershed	81183	10-31-78	USDA
Montana		FINAL	Beartooth Face Unit, Custer, Gallatin, Shoshone	81102	10-11-78	USDA
	Lincoln	FINAL	Troy Project ASARCO Inc, Proposed Mining Operation	81167	10-26-78	USDA
	Powder River	DRAFT	Ashland Land Management Plan, Custer NF	81179	10-31-78	USDA
	Rosebud	DRAFT	Ashland Land Management Plan, Custer NF	81179	10-31-78	USDA
National		DRAFT	Incineration of Wastes at Sea, Ocean Dumping	81072	10-04-78	STAT
		DRAFT	Electric Utility Steam Generating Units, Standards	81148	10-20-78	EPA
Nebraska		FINAL	Dredging of the Missouri River Bridge	81088	10-06-78	COE
	Lancaster	SUPPLE	Bail Relocation and Consolidation Demonstration	81159	10-24-78	DOT
	Sarpy	DRAFT	Gretna Fish Hatchery Road, Louisville West	81108	10-11-78	DOT
Nevada						
	Humboldt	FINAL	500 MW Coal Fired Generating Station	81172	10-27-78	DOI
	Washoe	FINAL	Tuledad-Howe Camp Planning Unit, Grazing	81073	10-04-78	DOI
New Jersey		FINAL	Ocean Dumping of Sludge in NY Bight	81128	10-16-78	EPA
New Mexico	Eddy	DRAFT	Guadalupe Escarpment Wilderness, Lincoln NF	81155	10-24-78	USDA
	McKinley	DRAFT	Puerco River and Tributaries, Flood Control	81168	10-26-78	COE
New York		FINAL	Ocean Dumping of Sludge in NY Bight	81128	10-16-78	EPA
North Carolina	Buncombe	DRAFT	Improved Access, Brevard to I-26	81132	10-17-78	DOT
	Durham	SUPPLE	Durham East-West Freeway, I-85 to US 70	81154	10-24-78	DOT
	Guilford	DRAFT	Horsepen Creek Interceptor, Greensboro	81079	10-04-78	EPA
	Henderson	DRAFT	Improved Access, Brevard to I-26	81132	10-17-78	DOT
	New Hanover	DRAFT	Wilmington Harbor, NB Cape Fear River, Wilmington	81069	10-03-78	COE
	Transylvania	DRAFT	Improved Access, Brevard to I-26	81132	10-17-78	DOT
	Wake	FINAL	Dawson/McDowell/Cabarrus Sts. - U.S. 70-401	81104	10-11-78	DOT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Ohio	Clermont	FINAL	Forest Park	81062	10-03-78	HUD
	Hamilton	FINAL	Forest Park	81062	10-03-78	HUD
	Warren	FINAL	Forest Park	81062	10-03-78	HUD
Oklahoma	Choctaw	FINAL	Western Farmers Coal Fired Plant & Transmission	81109	10-06-78	USDA
	Rodgers	FINAL	Black Fox Station Units 1 and 2, Guaranteed Loan	81110	10-12-78	USDA
Oregon		FINAL	Josephine Sustained Yield Unit, Timber Mgmt Plan	81156	10-23-78	DOI
	Curry	FINAL	Illinois Wild & Scenic River Proposal	81139	10-19-78	USDA
	Josephine	FINAL	Illinois Wild & Scenic River Proposal	81139	10-19-78	USDA
	Several	DRAFT	Malheur NF, 10-Year Timber Resource Plan	81113	10-12-78	USDA
		DRAFT	Burnt Powder Planning Unit, Wallowa-Whitman N.F.	81114	10-12-78	USDA
Pennsylvania	Fayette	FINAL	Youghiogheny State & National Wild & Scenic River	81083	10-05-78	DOI
	Somerset	FINAL	Youghiogheny State & National Wild & Scenic River	81083	10-05-78	DOI
	Bucks	FINAL	Golf Ranch/Centennial Industrial Park, Bristol	81185	10-31-78	HUD
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	Malecon Avenue, PR-14, Ponce	80937	10-06-78	DOI
		DRAFT	Toa Alta Heights Development, Toa Alta	81118	10-13-78	HUD
Several	Several	DRAFT	Water & Land Resource Plan, Ohio River Basin	81059	10-02-78	ORRC
		DRAFT	Vegetation Management, Herbicides, Eastern Region	81182	10-31-78	USDA
South Carolina		SUPPLE	Hartwell Lake, 5th Unit Installation, Savannah R.	81177	10-30-78	DOD
	Clarendon	FINAL	Hungry Hall Flood Prevention and Drainage	81090	10-06-78	USDA
	Sumter	FINAL	White's Mill Flood Prevention and Drainage, RC&D	81078	10-04-78	USDA
		FINAL	Hungry Hall Flood Prevention and Drainage	81090	10-06-78	USDA
South Dakota	Custer	DRAFT	Norbeck Wildlife Preserve, Black Hills NF	81063	10-03-78	USDA
	Pennington	DRAFT	Norbeck Wildlife Preserve, Black Hills NF	81063	10-03-78	USDA
Tennessee	Cumberland	SUPPLE	Obed Wild and Scenic River	81138	10-19-78	DOI
	Fentress	SUPPLE	Obed Wild and Scenic River	81138	10-19-78	DOI

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Tennessee	Henry	FINAL	Middle Fork-Clion River Watershed	81162	10-25-78	USDA
	Knox	FINAL	I-40 and I-75, Knoxville	81087	10-04-78	DOT
	Morgan	SUPPLE	Obed Wild and Scenic River	81138	10-19-78	DOI
	Scott	FINAL	Pine Creek Watershed, City of Oneida	81067	10-03-78	USDA
	Van Buren	FINAL	TN-1, McMinnville to Sparta	81142	10-20-78	DOT
	Warren	FINAL	TN-1, McMinnville to Sparta	81142	10-20-78	DOT
	Weakley	FINAL	Middle Fork-Clion River Watershed	81162	10-25-78	USDA
	White	FINAL	TN-1, McMinnville to Sparta	81142	10-20-78	DOT
Texas	El Paso	DRAFT	Northwest El Paso Joint Venture, El Paso	81084	10-05-78	HUD
	Harris	DRAFT	Amhurst Subdivision	81065	10-03-78	HUD
		DRAFT	Morton Road Tract, Subdivision	81066	10-03-78	HUD
		DRAFT	Ricewood Tract, Highlands of Westlake	81071	10-03-78	HUD
		FINAL	Highland Creek Village Subdivision	81058	10-02-78	HUD
		FINAL	Colony Creek Village, Subdivision	81107	10-11-78	HUD
		FINAL	Atascocita North, Houston	81158	10-24-78	ELD
		FINAL	Southbridge Subdivision, Houston	81180	10-31-78	ELD
	Kaufman	SUPPLE	Channel/levee Improvement, East Fork Trinity River	81103	10-11-78	COE
	Matagorda	FINAL	Mouth of Colorado River, Texas	80949	10-03-78	COE
	Several	DRAFT	Big Sandy Creek Watershed, Trinity River	81174	10-30-78	USDA
Utah		SUPPLE	Transportation of Chemical Material	81098	10-06-78	DOD
	Several	DRAFT	Development of Coal Resources, Central Utah	81140	10-20-78	DOI
	Tooele	FINAL	Drill and Transfer System, Dugway Proving Ground	81164	10-25-78	DOD
Virginia	Chesapeake	FINAL	I-264, Portsmouth & Norfolk	81076	10-04-78	DOT
		SUPPLE	Restrictive Easement Acquisition, Oceana, Fentress	81160	10-25-78	DOD
	Fairfax	FINAL	4447 Duke Street Rehabilitation, Alexandria	81095	10-06-78	HUD
Washington	Pend Oreille	DRAFT	Quartz Mountain Land Management Plan, Kaniksu NF	81181	10-31-78	USDA
	Cowlitz	DRAFT	Geothermal Leasing & Develop., Gifford Pinchot NF	81145	10-20-78	USDA
	Grays Harbor	SUPPLE	Grays Harbor and Chehalis River, Terminal #2	81094	10-06-78	COE
	King	FINAL	WA-90, Junction WA-5 to Vicinity Junction WA-405	81120	10-13-78	DOT
	Kitsap	DRAFT	Navy Yard City, Rehabilitation, Bremerton	81176	10-30-78	HUD
		FINAL	Sinclair Inlet Wastewater Facilities	81106	10-11-78	EPA
	Skamania	DRAFT	Geothermal Leasing & Develop., Gifford Pinchot NF	81145	10-20-78	USDA
	Spokane	FINAL	Homestead Planned Community	81157	10-24-78	HUD
	Whatcom	DRAFT	Bellingham Harbor Navigation Project	81074	10-04-78	COE

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Wisconsin	Brown	SUPPLE	University and Monroe Avenues, Improvement	81080	10-04-78	DOT
Wyoming		DRAFT	Coal Resources Development, Southcentral Wyoming	81119	10-13-78	DOI
		FINAL	Beartooth Face Unit, Custer, Gallatin, Shoshone	81102	10-11-78	USDA
	Campbell	DRAFT	Catalic Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan	81091	10-06-78	DOI
		DRAFT	Development of Coal Resources, Powder River Basin	81151	10-23-78	DOI
	Converse	DRAFT	Development of Coal Resources, Powder River Basin	81151	10-23-78	DOI
	Johnson	FINAL	Irigaray Solution Mining Project	81057	10-02-78	NRC
	Laramie	FINAL	North Portion, Cheyenne Federal-aid Urban Systems	81165	10-26-78	DOT
	Unita	FINAL	Evanston Street Improvements, US 30, WY-150, WY-89	81064	10-03-78	DOT

OCTOBER 1978

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	EIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
Forest Service					
	DRAFT	Norbeck Wildlife Preserve, Black Hills NF	81063	10-03-78	(none)
		Landmark Planning Unit, Boise NF	81105	10-11-78	(none)
		Halheer NF, 10-Year Timber Resource Plan	81113	10-12-78	(none)
		Burnt Powder Planning Unit, Wallowa-Whitman N.F.	81114	10-12-78	(none)
		Geothermal Leasing & Develop., Gifford Pinchot NF	81145	10-20-78	(none)
		Guadalupe Escarpment Wilderness, Lincoln NF	81155	10-24-78	(none)
		Ashland Land Management Plan, Custer NF	81179	10-31-78	(none)
		Quartz Mountain Land Management Plan, Kaniksu NF	81181	10-31-78	(none)
		Vegetation Management, Herbicides, Eastern Region	81182	10-31-78	(none)
	FINAL	Gospel Hump, Nezperce & Payette NF, Wilderness	81068	10-03-78	(none)
		Beartooth Face Unit, Custer, Gallatin, Shoshone	81102	10-11-78	(none)
		Bear Lake Planning Unit, Caribou National Forest	81116	10-13-78	(none)
		Illinois Wild & Scenic River Proposal	81139	10-19-78	(none)
		Tahoe NF Timber Management Plan	81141	10-20-78	(none)
		Troy Project ASARCO Inc, Proposed Mining Operation	81167	10-26-78	(none)
Rural Electrification Administration					
	FINAL	Western Farmers Coal Fired Plant & Transmission	81109	10-06-78	(none)
		Black Fox Station Units 1 and 2, Guaranteed Loan	81110	10-12-78	(none)
Soil Conservation Service					
	DRAFT	Big Sandy Creek Watershed, Trinity River	81174	10-30-78	(none)
	FINAL	Beartown Flood Control RC&D Measures	81060	10-02-78	(none)
		Pine Creek Watershed, City of Oneida	81067	10-03-78	(none)
		White's Mill Flood Prevention and Drainage, RC&D	81078	10-04-78	(none)
		Hungry Hell Flood Prevention and Drainage	81090	10-06-78	(none)
		Elk Creek Watershed Plan	81153	10-24-78	(none)
		Middle Fork-Clion River Watershed	81162	10-25-78	(none)
		Mozingo Creek Watershed	81183	10-31-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE					
Economic Development Administration					
	SUPPLEM	Humboldt Bay Harbor Marina, Woodley Island	81137	10-19-78	(None)
Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.					
	DRAFT	Halibut off the Coast of Alaska, FMP	81150	10-20-78	(none)
		Stone Crab, Fishery Management Plan, Gulf of Mex.	81171	10-27-78	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Atlantic Billfish/Shark, Preliminary Management	81170	10-27-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Air Force					
	FINAL	Air Force Missile Ex: Milestone II	81093	10-06-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army					
	FINAL	Drill and Transfer System, Dugway Proving Ground	81104	10-25-78	(none)

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army	SUPPLEM	Transportation of Chemical Material	81098	10-06-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy	FINAL	San Diego Naval Sta., Berthing/Repair Pier #2	81161	10-25-78	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Restrictive Easement Acquisition, Oceana, Pentress	81160	10-25-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	DRAFT	Coal Conversion Program, Brayton Point	81123	10-13-78	(none)
	DRAFT	Green Lake Project No 2818, Sitka	81163	10-25-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF HUD					
	DRAFT	Amhurst Subdivision	81065	10-03-78	(none)
		Horton Road Tract, Subdivision	81066	10-03-78	(none)
		Riverview Estates, Fresno	81070	10-03-78	(none)
		Ricewood Tract, Highlands of Westlake	81071	10-03-78	(none)
		Ardenwood Village, Fremont	81077	10-04-78	(none)
		Northwest El Paso Joint Venture, El Paso	81084	10-05-78	(none)
		Toa Alta Heights Development, Toa Alta	81118	10-13-78	(none)
		Gentry-Waipo, Waipo, Oahu	81136	10-19-78	(none)
	FINAL	Highland Creek Village Subdivision	81058	10-02-78	(none)
		Forest Park	81062	10-03-78	(none)
		Partial Development in Dover Valley, Fairfield	81075	10-04-78	(none)
		Grandview Pines, Ltd., Millbrook	81096	10-06-78	(none)
		Colony Creek Village, Subdivision	81107	10-11-78	(none)
		Belle Terre Development	81152	10-23-78	(none)
		Homestead Planned Community	81157	10-24-78	(none)
		Atascocita North, Houston	81158	10-24-78	(none)
		Southbridge Subdivision, Houston	81180	10-31-78	(none)
Section 104(h)	DRAFT	Navy Yard City, Rehabilitation, Bremerton	81176	10-30-78	(none)
	FINAL	4447 Duke Street Rehabilitation, Alexandria	81095	10-06-78	(none)
		Corcoran Prince Wastewater Facilities	81175	10-30-78	(none)
		Golf Ranch/Centennial Industrial Park, Bristol	81185	10-31-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR					
Bureau of Land Management	SUPPLEM	Alaska National Interest Lands	81276	10-20-78	(none)
	DRAFT	Coal Resources Development, Southcentral Wyoming	81119	10-13-78	(none)

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR					
Bureau of Land Management	DRAFT	Development of Coal Resources, Powder River Basin	81151	10-23-78	(none)
	FINAL	Tuledad-Home Camp Planning Unit, Grazing	81073	10-04-78	(none)
		Josephine Sustained Yield Unit, Timber Mgmt Plan	81156	10-23-78	(none)
		500 MW Coal Fired Generating Station	81172	10-27-78	(none)
Bureau of Mines	DRAFT	Surface Mining Control & Reclamation Act, 501(b)	81061	10-03-78	(none)
Bureau of Reclamation	SUPPLEM	Fountain Valley Conduit, Fryingpan Arkansas Proj.	81133	10-18-78	(none)
Geological Survey	DRAFT	Caballo Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan	81091	10-06-78	(none)
		Development of Coal Resources, Central Utah	81140	10-20-78	(none)
National Park Service	DRAFT	Voyageurs National Park, Master Plan	81135	10-19-78	(none)
	FINAL	Youghioghery State & National Wild & Scenic River	81083	10-05-78	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Obed Wild and Scenic River	81138	10-19-78	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	DRAFT	Niantic River Bridge and Approaches, Replacement	81086	10-05-78	(none)
Federal Aviation Administration	FINAL	New Runway 13-31, Fergus Falls Airport	81082	10-05-78	(none)
Federal Highway Administration	DRAFT				
		Malecon Avenue, PR-14, Ponce	80937	10-06-78	(none)
		I-151, Marion Bypass	81089	10-06-78	(none)
		I-75 Improvement, Cleveland & Central Avenues	81092	10-06-78	(none)
		Gretna Fish Hatchery Road, Louisville West	81108	10-11-78	(none)
		I-180, Hoffner Corridor, Albany and Richmond	81127	10-16-78	(none)
		ID-64, Nezperce to Kamiah	81130	10-17-78	(none)
		Improved Access, Brevard to I-26	81132	10-17-78	(none)
	FINAL	Evanston Street Improvements, US 30, WY-150, WY-89	81064	10-03-78	(none)
		I-264, Portsmouth & Norfolk	81076	10-04-78	(none)
		I-65-3(52)(53) and M-7257(1), Lewisburg to Warrior	81081	10-04-78	(none)
		RN-101, Shakopee By-pass	81085	10-05-78	(none)
		I-40 and I-75, Knoxville	81087	10-04-78	(none)
		Dawson/McDowell/Cabarrus Sts. - U.S. 70-401	81104	10-11-78	(none)
		WA-90, Junction WA-5 to Vicinity Junction WA-405	81120	10-13-78	(none)
		Kuakini Highway Realignment	81121	10-13-78	(none)
		MI-24 Extension, Caro to MI-25 Unionville	81126	10-16-78	(none)
		Kalanianaole Highway (FAP 72) Improvement	81134	10-18-78	(none)
		TN-1, McMinnville to Sparta	81142	10-20-78	(none)
		North Portico, Cheyenne Federal-aid Urban System	81165	10-26-78	(none)

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
Federal Highway Administration					
	FINAL	MD-404, Center Bypass	81178	10-30-78	(none)
	SUPPLEM	University and Monroe Avenues, Improvement	81080	10-04-78	(none)
		The Appalachian Highway, GA-5	81115	10-13-78	(none)
		Durham East-West Freeway, I-85 to US 70	81154	10-24-78	(none)
		Rail Relocation and Consolidation Demonstration	81159	10-24-78	(none)
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY					
EPA, HEADQUARTERS					
	DRAFT	Electric Utility Steam Generating Units, Standards	81148	10-20-78	(none)
EPA, REGION 1					
	FINAL	Androscoggin Valley 208 Plan	81143	10-20-78	(none)
		SE Mass., 208 Water Quality Management Plan	81169	10-26-78	(none)
EPA, REGION 10					
	FINAL	Sinclair Inlet Wastewater Facilities	81106	10-11-78	(none)
EPA, REGION 2					
	FINAL	Ocean Dumping of Sludge in NY Bight	81128	10-16-78	(none)
EPA, REGION 4					
	DRAFT	Horsepen Creek Interceptor, Greensboro	81079	10-04-78	(none)
	FINAL	Trimble County Generating Station, Permit	81144	10-20-78	(none)
EPA, REGION 5					
	FINAL	Detroit Water Pollution Control Systems	81051	10-12-78	(none)
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION					
	FINAL	Courthouse, Federal Office Building, Springfield	81099	10-10-78	(none)
		Mt. Vernon Campus Square, University of DC	81173	10-27-78	(none)
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION					
	FINAL	Southern Pacific, Discontinuance of Operation	81184	10-31-78	(none)
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
	FINAL	Irigaray Solution Mining Project	81057	10-02-78	(none)
OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION					
	DRAFT	Water & Land Resource Plan, Ohio River Basin	81059	10-02-78	(none)

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	EIS ORDER NUMBER
STATE DEPARTMENT					
	DRAFT	Incineration of Wastes at Sea, Ocean Dumping	81072	10-04-78	(none)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS					
	DRAFT	Wilmington Harbor, NE Cape Fear River, Wilmington	81069	10-03-78	(none)
		Bellingham Harbor Navigation Project	81074	10-04-78	(none)
		Large Unnamed Creek, Loves Park	81100	10-10-78	(none)
		Manatee Harbor, Channel Maintenance	81111	10-12-78	(none)
		Hatcher Bayou and Durden Creek	81166	10-26-78	(none)
		Fuerco River and Tributaries, Flood Control	81168	10-26-78	(none)
	FINAL	Mouth of Colorado River, Texas	80949	10-03-78	(none)
		Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	81002	10-20-78	(none)
		Dredging of The Missouri River Bridge	81088	10-06-78	(none)
		Navigation Season Extension Demonstration, FY 79	81122	10-13-78	(none)
		Aquatic Plant Control Program, Mobile District	81131	10-17-78	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Grays Harbor and Chehalis River, Terminal #2	81094	10-06-78	(none)
		Channel/Levee Improvement, East Fork Trinity River	81103	10-11-78	(none)
		Obion Creek Project, (S-1)	81129	10-16-78	(none)
		Hartwell Lake, 5th Unit Installation, Savannah R.	81177	10-30-78	(none)

OCTOBER 1978

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

102 STATEMENT SUMMARY

AGENCY	DRAFT 102'S (NC FINAL)	FINAL 102'S	SUPPLEMENTS	TOTAL
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	10	15	0	25
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	2	0	2	4
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	0	3	2	5
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	2	0	0	2
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	9	12	0	21
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR	6	4	3	13
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	8	14	4	26
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	2	6	0	8
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	0	2	0	2
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION	0	1	0	1
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	0	1	0	1
OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION	1	0	0	1
STATE DEPARTMENT	1	0	0	1
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	6	5	4	15
TOTALS	47	63	15	125

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy
Attn: DAEN-CWR-E
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft — Date

Manatee Harbor, Channel Maintenance — 10/12

Florida
County: Manatee
Proposed is the maintenance of Manatee Harbor and channel; the construction of a widened turning area at the intersection of the channel with the main Tampa Harbor channel; and construction of a turning basin adjacent to the Port Manatee berthing area. Part of an existing 60 acre island will be modified to form about 7 acres at about 2 feet mean low water to mitigate the loss of an equal area of sea-grassed bay bottom consequent to turning basin construction. The project will involve 164 acres for material fill area and is entirely located in Manatee County, Florida.
(Jacksonville District)
(EIS ORDER # 81111)

Large Unnamed Creek, Loves Park — 10/10

Illinois
County: Winnebago
Proposed is a flood prevention plan for Large Unnamed Creek in Loves Park, Winnebago County, Illinois. The recommended plan consists of 15,500 l.f. of concrete and 2,400 l.f. of riprap channel improvements; use of 66 acres of existing gravel pits for ponding purposes with installation of a pumping station and a gravity outlet; removal of 9 bridges; and construction of 4 new bridges. Alternatives include: 1) no action, 2) non-structural solutions of flood plain evacuation, flood proofing, and flood insurance; and 3) structural measures of channel improvements, underground conduits, and reservoirs.
(Rock Island District)
(EIS ORDER # 81100)

Hatcher Bayou and Durden Creek — 10/26

Mississippi
County: Warren
The proposed project is for recommended channel improvements which consists of the enlargement of a total of 4.84 miles of channel in Hennesseys Bayou, Hatcher Bayou, and Durden

Creek in Warren County, Mississippi. The proposal provides for the placement of excavated material from the channel on adjacent streambanks. Several alternatives, including dams and lakes, levees and pumping plants, channel improvement, floodproofing, excavation, combination of two or more of the preceding, and no-action, have been considered.
(Vicksburg District)
(EIS ORDER # 81166)

Draft

Puerco River and Tributaries, Flood Control 10/26

New Mexico
County: McKinley
Proposed is a flood control plan for the Puerco River and tributaries located in Gallup, McKinley County, New Mexico. Features of the plan include: 1) raising and revetting the existing north levee, 2) constructing a 3,280 foot single levee on the south bank, 3) removal of a portion of the rock knoll north of the river, 4) construction of a 2,400 foot embankment to prevent overflow onto I-40, 5) a bicycle trail and rest area along the south bank, and 6) purchasing 30 acres of flood plain north of the river to be managed as a natural wildlife area.
(Los Angeles District)
(EIS ORDER # 81168)

Wilmington Harbor, NE Cape Fear River, Wilmington 10/03

North Carolina
County: New Hanover
Proposed is the widening and deepening of an existing deep draft ship channel and turning basin located in Wilmington, New Hanover County, North Carolina. Other features of the project are: 1) acquiring fee simple or conservation rights on 2,800 acres of wetland and upland attendant to the river, 2) maintaining the new navigation improvements, 3) providing for controlled access to the navigation channel, and 4) management of the acquired 2,800 acre conservation area.
(Wilmington District)
(EIS ORDER # 81069)

Bellingham Harbor Navigation Project 10/04

Washington
County: Whatcom
Proposed is an ongoing program of maintenance dredging within the Bellingham Harbor, Whatcom County, Washington, including project waterways. Dredged materials (320,000 c.y.) will be disposed of in a Washington Department of Natural Resources designated open water disposal area. The currently authorized Bellingham Harbor Navigation project will involve dredging of three project waterways to maintain their authorized project depths. Included under the present authorization are Squalicum Creek Waterway, I&J

Street Waterway, Whatcom Creek, and the entrance channel to Squalicum Small Boat Basin.
(Seattle District)
(EIS CEQED # 81074)

Final — Date

Navigation Season Extension Demonstration, FY 79 — 10/13

The proposed FY 1979 Navigation Season Extension Demonstration Program is part of an on-going investigation to demonstrate the practicability of certain enabling measures for extending the commercial navigation season on the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway System. This proposal covers only the demonstration of various methods needed to extend the navigation season and is not an environmental statement for the extension of the winter navigation season.
(Detroit District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOT USCG USDA FERC LCE DOC EPA State and Local Agencies Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81122)

Aquatic Plant Control Program, Mobile District — 10/17

Proposed is the control and progressive eradication of the water hyacinth, alligator weed, and other noxious aquatic plant growths from the navigable waters, tributary streams, connecting channels and other allied waters in the COE Mobile District which includes the States of Florida, Alabama, Texas, Louisiana, and Mississippi. Control measures consist of approved chemicals, biological control agents, mechanical methods, and combinations.
(Mobile District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI USDA HUD DOT USCG FPC State and Local Agencies Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81131)

Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek — 10/20

California
County: Orange Riverside San Bernardino
The project includes the construction of a new reservoir upstream of Prado Dam; modification and expansion of the existing Prado Reservoir; improvement of the existing Santa Ana River channel; improvement of the lower Santiago Creek Channel; development of water conservation, recreational and wildlife enhancement facilities in and along the above; acquisition and protection of natural amenities in Santa Ana Canyon; and acquisition and preservation of a 92 acre salt marsh area.
(Los Angeles District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA HEW DOI USDA USCG HUD State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81002)

Final

Dredging of The Missouri River Bridge 10/06

Nebraska Iowa
County: Dakota Woodbury
The proposed project involves the dredging of fill material from the Missouri River at mile 732.05 to 732.40 in conjunction with the proposed new bridge at mile 732.30 in Dakota County, Nebraska and Woodbury County, Iowa. A permit for this dredging, if granted, will be authorized under Section 10 of the River and Harbor Act of 1899, and under provisions of Section 404 of the FWPCA Amendments of 1972. The issuance of the Section 10 permit would allow dredging of approximately 650,000 cubic yards of fill material from the Missouri River for the construction of the interchange between US 20 and Interstate 29 in conjunction with the construction of a replacement bridge.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD EPA FERC DOT DOI
State and local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81088)

Mouth of Colorado River, Texas 10/03

Texas
County: Matagorda
The proposed project consists of an entrance channel in the Gulf, 15 feet deep by 200 feet wide, protected by jetties. The proposed east jetty is a weir type, designed to pass littoral material into an impoundment basin for periodic transfer to the down-drift beach. From the Gulf inland to its connection with the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW), the proposed channel will be dredged to 12 feet in depth and 100 feet in width. Adverse effects include the elimination of most of the benthic populations occupying the affected areas. About 242 acres of terrestrial wildlife habitat will be removed or modified.
(Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC ARP HEW USDA DOT
State Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 80949)

Draft Supplement Date

Hartwell Lake, 5th Unit Installation, Savannah R. 10/30

Georgia South Carolina
County: Several
Proposed is the installation of a 80,000 kilowatt fifth generating unit at Hartwell Lake Dam in Hart County, Georgia and Anderson County, South Carolina. The installation would include modification and additions to the existing power intake and powerhouse structures and a transformer and trans-

former deck pull-off tower to carry the electrical transmission line to the switchyard. The area potentially affected extends from the damsite into Elbert and Hart Counties, Georgia and Abbeville and Anderson Counties, South Carolina.
(Savannah District)
(EIS ORDER # 81177)

Final Supplement

Obion Creek Project, (S-1) 10/16

Kentucky
County: Several
This supplemental EIS updates a final EIS filed with EPA in January 1978. The purpose of this supplement is to take into consideration the deposition of materials in the wetlands along Obion Creek located in Kentucky. The original project would have resulted in excavated fill material being deposited in 304 acres of wetlands which would have resulted in dryland after construction was completed. The project has been modified to realign channel improvements to avoid the wetlands and to also haul excavated material outside wetland areas. These measures would eliminate permanent disposal in 119 wetland areas.
(Memphis District)
(EIS ORDER # 81129)

Channel/Levee Improvement, East Fork Trinity River 10/11

Texas
County: Kaufman
This supplemental statement updates information on the environmental statement relative to the Increment I portion of the East Fork Channel and Levee Improvement of the authorized project, Lavon Reservoir Enlargement and East Fork Channel and Levee Improvement. The final EIS for Lavon Dam and Reservoir Modification and East Fork Channel Improvement was filed with CEQ on March 29, 1971 and is considered inadequate by current standards. An updated EIS on the Lavon Dam and Reservoir was filed with CEQ on July 23, 1976. This proposal will improve channel and utilized excavated material to raise and strengthen associated levees to protect agricultural lands. (Fort Worth District)
(EIS ORDER # 81103)

Grays Harbor and Chehalis River, Terminal #2 10/06

Washington
County: Grays Harbor
This EIS supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ. The proposed action involves the construction of a new pier, dredging of a berth in front of this pier, and filling of tide lands between the new pier and adjacent to slip 2 at the Port of Grays Harbor in Aberdeen, Washington.
(Seattle District)
(EIS ORDER # 81094)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 377-4335

Economic Development Administration

Final Supplement — Date

Humboldt Bay Harbor Marina, Woodley Island — 10/19

California
County: Humboldt
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in August 1976 concerning the proposed release of financial assistance to the Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District for the construction of a 237-berth marina on Woodley Island, Eureka, Humboldt County, California. The proposed marina would provide berthing space for commercial fishing vessels currently based in Humboldt Bay and some recreational vessels. Five alternate sites are considered. A phased, multi-site marina development program incorporating reduced sizes at some alternate sites is also considered, in addition with no action.
(EIS ORDER # 81137)

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Draft — Date

Halibut off the Coast of Alaska, FMP — 10/20

Alaska
County: Several
The proposed action is the Fishery Management Plan which addresses the hook and line fisheries for halibut off the Coast of Alaska. The specific goals for the halibut resource are: 1) to rebuild the halibut resource to a level of abundance which will provide long term optimal yield, and 2) to provide for a viable halibut setline fishery for United States fishermen.
(EIS ORDER # 81150)

Stone Crab, Fishery Management Plan, Gulf of Mex. — 10/27

Florida
Proposed is a fishery management plan for the stone crab fishery in the Gulf of Mexico Conservation Zone from the Florida-Alabama line southwest to and including the Florida Keys. The basic objectives of the management plan are: 1) orderly conduct of the fishery to reduce conflict between

other fisheries in the area, 2) establishment of a fishery statistical reporting system for monitoring the stone crab fishery, 3) full utilization of the stone crab resource in the management area, and 4) promote uniformity of regulations throughout the management area.
(EIS ORDER # 81171)

Final Supplement	Date
Atlantic Billfish/Shark, Preliminary Management	10/27

This statement supplements a final EIS filed in January concerning preliminary fishery management plan for Atlantic billfishes and sharks, which prohibited the retention of billfishes and other non-target species taken incidental to directed fisheries for tunas and sharks within the US Fisheries Conservation Zone, and sets shark allocation for foreign nations. The proposed action is to extend into the 1979 fishing season, the fishing plan and the implementation of procedures to protect non-target species in directed foreign shark fisheries by imposing area and gear limitations.
(EIS ORDER # 81170)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMY

Contact: Mr. George A. Cunney, Jr.
Acting Chief, Environmental Office
Office of the Assistant Chief of Engineers
Department of the Army
Rm. 1E676, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) 694-4269

Final — Date

Drill and Transfer System, Dugway Proving Ground — 10/25

Utah
County: Tooele
The proposed action consists of moving recovered chemical agent filled test munitions from a storage area to a remote operational site where the chemical agent fill will be either identified or verified and then the agent will be transferred to a shipping container. The drill transfer of Dugway Proving Ground, approximately 80 miles southwest of Salt Lake City, is located on the eastern edge of the Great Salt Lake Desert. The Proving Ground has been divided into two major regions: The Test Area is Dugway Valley where all testing and disposal operations are performed, and English Village in Skull Valley which is the administrative and residential area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EOI EPA
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81164)

Final Supplement — Date

Transportation of Chemical Material — 10/06

Colorado Utah
County: Several
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in October, 1977, and was prepared to provide additional information relative to the preparation and shipment of the MK116 Mod 0 Weteye Bombs from Rocky Mount Arsenal in Colorado to Toole Army Depot, Utah. The method of transport has been modified to avoid any leakage problems during the transfer.
(EIS ORDER # 81098)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, NAVY

Contact: Mr. Ed Johnson
Head, Environmental Impact Statements-
RDT & E Branch
Office of the Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington D.C. 20350
(202) 697-3689

Final

San Diego Naval Sta., Berthing/Repair Pier #2 — Date 10/25

California
County: San Diego
Proposed is the construction of a reinforced concrete pier 120 feet wide by 1,005 feet long at the Naval Station, San Diego, California. The project site of the proposed new berthing pier #2 will be midway between berthing piers 1 and 3, where the water is approximately 30 feet MLLW. The project will deepen the area to 35 MLLW and the dredged material will be disposed of about 8 miles west of Point Loma. Dredging will temporarily disturb marine and bottom life.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC CCE EPA
(EIS ORDER # 81161)

Draft Supplement

Restrictive Easement Acquisition, Oceana, Fortress — Date 10/25

Virginia
County: Chesapeake
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in January 1976 concerning the Navy Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Program for the Master Jet Base, Oceana. This statement concerns the purchase of restrictive easements over 364 acres of land adjacent to Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia Beach and 868 acres of land adjacent to Auxiliary Landing Field, Fortress, Chesapeake, Virginia. The AICUZ program is designed to prevent incompatible use of lands surrounding air installation operations, and to protect local residents from excessive noise levels and possible accident.
(EIS ORDER # 81160)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, AIR FORCE

Contact: Col. Luis F. Dominguez
Department of the Air Force
Room 5D 431, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330
(202) 697-7799

Final — Date

Air Force Missle Mx: Milestone II — 10/06

The US Air Force proposes the development of a new Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) force and basic system known as Mx. The Mx system will soon require a limited number of full-scale prototype missiles and a series of tests associated with these prototypes. This development and test program is known as a Full-Scale Engineering Development (FSED). The proposal discusses the feasibility of proceeding with the FSED phase.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOD DOT FEMC NASA DOI EPA
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Individuals

(EIS ORDER # 81093)

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Contact: Mr. Robert Stern
Acting Director
Division of NEPA Affairs
Department of Energy
Federal Building, Room 7119
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20461
(202) 566-9760

Draft — Date

Coal Conversion Program, Brayton Point — 10/13

Massachusetts
County: Bristol
Proposed is a Notice of Effectiveness to prohibit burning of gas or oil as the primary source of fuel at New England Power Company's Brayton Point Generating Station, Somerset, Bristol County, Massachusetts, for Units 1, 2, and 3. The Notice of Effectiveness would make effective the June 30, 1977 Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act Prohibition Order issued by FEA. The alternatives considered include: 1) fuel mix, 2) alternate fuels, 3) early retirement, 4) no action.
(DOE/EIS-0036-D)
(EIS CEQ# # 81123)

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Jack M. Heinemann
Advisor on Environmental Quality
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Room 4102
825 North Capitol Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C 20426
(202) 275-6569

Draft Date

Green Lake Project No 2818, Sitka 10/25

Alaska
Proposed is the issuance of a license for the construction and operation of the Green Lake Project No. 2818 by the City and Borough of Sitka, Alaska. The issuance of a license would authorize the construction of: 1) a dam at the outlet of Green Lake, 2) a power tunnel leading to a powerhouse at tidewater on Silver Bay, 3) a switch-yard, 4) access roads, 5) a transmission line and 6) appurtenant facilities. This license would also authorize the operation of project works to produce an electric power supply. The project area is located approximately ten air miles southeast of Sitka City proper on the Vodopad River.
(FERC-EIS-0006-D)
(EIS CEQEB # 81163)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 4256 Interior Bldg.
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Draft Supplement — Date

Alaska National Interest Lands — 10/20

Alaska
County: Several
This statement supplements 28 final EIS's filed in December, 1974 and January and February, 1975 concerning various alternatives for protecting National Interest Lands in Alaska through inclusion within the National Park, Wildlife Refuge, National Forest, and Wild and Scenic River Systems. This supplement discusses Executive Branch Actions which include: 1) Presidential proclamation of national monuments under the Antiquities Act, 2) administrative segregation and/or withdrawal of National Interest Lands under the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, and 3) withdrawal of lands under the Alaskan Native Claims Settlement Act.
(DES-75-34)
(EIS ORDER #81149)

Bureau of Land Management

Draft — Date

Coal Resources Development, Southcentral Wyoming — 10/13

Wyoming Colorado
County: Several
Proposed are three coal mining and reclamation plans and the issuance of rights-of-way necessary to develop coal mines in Sweetwater and Carbon Counties, Wyoming and in Routt and Moffat Counties, Colorado. Right-of-way will be used for ancillary facilities related to the project, including: 1) telephone, power, and 2 transmission lines; 2) one access and one haul road; 3) a water pipeline and tailing pond; and 4) a state highway. Surface ownership of these lands includes federal, state, and private.
(DES-75-44)
(EIS ORDER # 81119)

Development of Coal Resources, Powder River Basin — 10/23

Wyoming
County: Campbell Converse
Proposed is a coal mining and reclamation plan for the Shell

Oil Company's proposed Buckskin Mine ir Gillete, Campbell County, Wyoming. The purpcse cf the prcpcsed acticn is tc allcw recovery cf 80 millicr tcns of lcw-sulfur, subbitu-mincus ccal over a 20 year pericd. The 600 acre mining area will irclude: 1) surface facilities, 2) access roads, 3) a six-mile railroad, 4) extersicr cf an existirg 69-KV power line, 5) water supply, and 6) sewage treatment facilities. Seven alternatives are ccrsidered.
(DES-78-48)
(EIS ORDER # 81151)

Final	Date
Tuledad-Home Camp Planning Unit, Grazirg	10/04

Nevada California
County: Washoe Modoc Lassen
The prcposed action is the implementatien cf a grazing management program cf the Tuledad-Home Camp Planning Unit located in the Counties cf Modoc and Lasser, California and Washoe County, Nevada. The management area comprises nearly 700,000 acres of public lard. The plar prcpcsed 3 levels of management: 1) systematic grazing management cn 670,000 acres, 2) custodial management cn alout 5,000 acres, and 3) livestock grazing exclusicns (nc livestock grazing) in 19,000 acres.
(FES-78-26)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI APE HEW USDA DOT DOD NRC DOE
State and local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81073)

500 MW Coal Fired Generatirg Statien 10/27

Nevada
County: Humboldt
This actien proposes the ccrstruction cf tvc 250 megawatt Coal-Fired Steam-Electric Gererating Units ir Humboldt County, Nevada by the Sierra Pacific Power Company. This project would encompass approximately 3,100 acres, 940 of which are federally administered. The ccrstruction of the proposed power plant, in part, would alsc include 2 coal-fired boilers, a turbine-generator unit, a bagicuse, a mechanical draft cooling tower, well field, ccal storage area, evaporation pond for waste disposal, lard fill for ash disposal, a railroad spur, an access road, a transmission system, a 500-foot stack, a warehouse, and an administration building. (FES-78-31)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USDA CCE DOI FERC APE DOC NOAA EPA
USN DOE
State Agencies
Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81172)

Final

Josephine Sustained Yield Unit, Timber Mgmt Plan 10/23
Oregon
The proposed action is a ten-year timber management plan for public lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in the Josephine Sustained Yield Unit (SYU). The proposed annual harvest is 20.10 million cubic feet, approximately 103 million board feet. This amount is 43 million board feet less than the present annual harvest level. The Josephine SYU constitutes the western half of the Medford District, Bureau of Land Management. The SYU encompasses an aggregate area of over 850,000 acres of which approximately 425,700 acres are public lands administered by BLM. This unit is bounded on the west by the Siskiyou National Forest. (DES-78-30)
COMMENTS MADE BY: ARP USDA CEQ DOE DOI EIA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS CEQ# # 81156)

Bureau of Mines

Draft Date

Surface Mining Control & Reclamation Act, 501(b) 10/03

Proposed is the promulgation of a permanent regulatory program, under Section 501(b) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977. The three major components which comprise this proposal are: 1) regulations concerning environmental performance standards, permit applications, and bonding requirements; 2) procedure regulations for submission of state programs and for review criteria used for approval; and 3) regulations governing development and implementation of a federal program for a State.
(DES-78-24)
(EIS CEQ# # 81061)

Bureau of Reclamation

Final Supplement Date

Fountain Valley Conduit, Fryingpan Arkansas Proj. 10/18

Colorado
County: ElPaso Pueblo
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in April, 1975 concerning the Fountain Valley Conduit in El Paso and Pueblo Counties, Colorado, as related to the Fryingpan - Arkansas Project. The conduit will be 45 miles long and will be used for the conveyance of municipal and industrial waters between Pueblo dam and the City of Colorado Springs and other Fountain Valley communities. Other features of the plan include: 1) construction of four pumping plants, and 2) establishment of power supply and substation at each pumping plant. Five alternatives are considered.
(DES-78-29)
(EIS ORDER # 81133)

Geological Survey

Draft	Date
Development of Coal Resources, Central Utah	10/20

Utah
County: Several
The proposed action is an analysis of environmental impacts which could result in the Central Utah region coal development proposals that require Federal approval. This statement is organized into two parts. Part 1 is an analysis of cumulative impacts of proposed coal developments on Federal lands and associated ancillary facilities. Part 2 is an analysis of the individual proposals. Involved is the Federal approval of nine underground mining and reclamation plans (M&RP's) on existing leases and land use applications associated with the M & RP's.
(DES-78-46)
(EIS ORDER # 81140)

Caballe Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan	10/06

Wyoming
County: Campbell
Proposed is approval of the surface mining and reclamation plan for the Caballe Mine, Carter Mining Company, Campbell County, Wyoming. The plan describes mining operations for 40 years on a total of about 6,700 acres (about 4,300 acres will actually be mined) of Federal, State, and privately owned mining leases. All of the land surface, except for 640 acres of State land, is privately owned. The coal will be used for electric power generation. Ten alternatives are considered.
(DES-78-43)
(EIS ORDER # 81091)

National Park Service

Draft	Date
Voyageurs National Park, Master Plan	10/19

Minnesota
County: Koochiching St. Louis
Proposed is a master plan for the 138,828 acre Voyageurs National Park in Koochiching and St. Louis Counties, Minnesota. Features of the plan include, in part: 1) acquiring nonfederal lands within and outside park boundaries; 2) classification of lands and waters; 3) a 217,000 natural zone area; 4) a primitive subzone; 5) outstanding natural,

development and natural environment areas; 6) visitor/ recreation areas, programs and facilities; and 7) forest fire, natural resource and environmental quality agreements. (DES-78-45)
(EIS ORDER # 81135)

Final — Date

Youghiogheny State & National Wild & Scenic River — 10/05

Maryland — Pennsylvania
County: Garrett — Somerset — Fayette
This proposal recommends that the Youghiogheny River between Oakland and Friendsville, Garrett County, Maryland be included in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System and that the segment between Confluence and South Connellsville, Fayette and Somerset Counties, Pennsylvania be included in the Pennsylvania Scenic Rivers System, and if desired in the National System. The 22.2 mile Maryland segment will be administered by the State of Maryland as a wild river and the 27-mile Pennsylvania segment by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as a scenic river. The protection boundary would encompass the visual corridor and several low density recreation sites would be developed. (DES-78-23)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOE DOI HUD HEW Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81083)

Final Supplement — Date

Obed Wild and Scenic River — 10/19

Tennessee
County: Morgan — Fentress — Cumberland
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in October, 1976 concerning the establishment of an Obed National Wild and Scenic River in Morgan, Fentress and Cumberland Counties, Tennessee. The statement provides additional information on: 1) the inclusion of 45.2 miles of Obed River, Clear Creek, Daddys Creek and Emory River; 2) the acquisition of 4,520 acres in fee and less-than-fee interests of fewer than 2,000 acres in Morgan and Cumberland Counties; 3) the administration by DOI/NPS; and 4) the development of facilities. (FES-78-28)
(EIS ORDER # 81138)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Draft — Date

Niantic River Bridge and Approaches, Replacement — 10/05

Connecticut
County: New London
Proposed is the replacement of the existing movable span railroad bridge across the Niantic River in the towns of East Lyme and Waterford, New London County, Connecticut. The plan includes the placement of a new bridge and approaches. The replacement alternative, which is preferred, is an overhead counterweight bascule bridge or a new alignment approximately 56 feet south of the existing structure, with a channel width of 100 feet and a vertical clearance of 13 feet above mean high water in the closed position. Total project length between tie-in points with the existing track alignment is 0.7 miles.
(FRA-NAC-EIS-78-01-D)
(EIS CEQEB # 81086)

Federal Aviation Administration

Final — Date

New Runway 13-31, Fergus Falls Airport — 10/05

Minnesota
County: Otter Tail
Proposed is the acquisition of approximately 500 acres of land, including one farmstead and two dwellings, for the construction of a new 4,900 X 100 foot Runway 13-31, to be extended to 5,700 feet the following year, at Fergus Falls, Minnesota. Plan implementation calls for the development of a new terminal area, with terminal building and access road, and the acquisition of easements under runway approaches of approximately 600 acres. Adverse effects include increased air and noise pollution; the relocation of 6 persons; loss of approximately 85 acres of land from agricultural purposes; and the possible loss of a two-acre Type III wetland through filling.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA
(EIS CEQEB # 81082)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft — Date

I-180, Hoffman Corridor, Albany and Richmond — 10/16

California
County: Alameda Contra Costa
Proposed is the improvement of the six mile Route I-180 (17) transportation corridor between Route I-80 near Buchanan Street in Albany and the Richmond - San Rafael Bridge in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California. All build alternatives under consideration are on the same alignment and would upgrade the present 4-lane highway to a 4 or 6 lane freeway, with or without high-occupancy vehicle lanes, connecting to Route 80. These proposals also involve a two-mile semi-depressed section and seven interchanges.
(FHWA-CA-EIS-78-01-D)
(EIS ORDER # 81127)

I-75 Improvement, Cleveland & Central Avenues — 10/06

Georgia
County: Fulton Clayton
Proposed are two concurrent projects which involve the widening and improvement of I-75, beginning at I-285 and following I-75 northward to the interchange area at the Lakewood Freeway in Clayton and Fulton Counties, Georgia. Also included will be interchange improvements at Central Avenue and Cleveland Avenue, with an interchange to be added to serve Hartsfield International Airport. The facility will be limited access. In addition to no-build, two alternatives are considered.
(FHWA-GA-EIS-78-01-D)
(EIS ORDER # 81092)

ID-64, Nezperce to Kamiah — 10/17

Idaho
County: Lewis
Proposed is the replacement or improvement of ID-64 between the communities of Nezperce and Kamiah in Lewis County, Idaho, within the boundary of the Nezperce Indian Reservation. The improved facility would be a secondary state highway consisting of two 12-foot travel lanes with 2-foot shoulders within a 120-foot right of way. The approximate length of the project would vary from 11.7 miles to 15.4 miles depending on the alternative selected of the five being considered.
(FHWA-IDA-78-02-D)
(EIS ORDER # 81130)

Draft

I-151, Marion Bypass 10/06

Iowa
County: Linn
Proposed is the construction of a four-lane divided highway in Linn County, Iowa, beginning at 1st Avenue (I-151) near 30th Street in Cedar Rapids and extending easterly to present I-151 east of Marion. The length of the project is approximately 7.5 miles and would provide a bypass for the present I-151 through Marion. The extension of IC-150 from the present junction of IC-150 and I-151 southerly to the proposed bypass is also a part of the project, and will be approximately 1.1 miles in length.
(FHWA-IOWA-EIS-78-03-D)
(EIS ORDER # 81089)

Gretna Fish Hatchery Road, Louisville West 10/11

Nebraska
County: Sarpy
Proposed are two projects involving the improvement of approximately 11 miles of N-31 in Sarpy County, Nebraska. The Gretna Fish Hatchery Road project involves reconstruction of approximately 5 miles of N-31 from the south ramps of Gretna I-80 to the Schram Park Area. The roadway will be a two-lane surfaced highway; will include two new bridges; and will involve relocation in the Schramm Park Area. The Louisville West project will involve construction of six miles of two-lane surfaced highway from the end of Gretna Fish Hatchery Road to the junction of N-31 and N-50.
(FHWA-NEB-EIS-78-04-D)
(EIS ORDER # 81108)

Improved Access, Brevard to I-26 10/17

North Carolina
County: Transylvania Henderson Buncombe
Proposed is the construction of a new four-lane highway facility to improve access between Brevard and I-26 in Transylvania, Henderson, and Buncombe Counties, North Carolina. The highway is proposed to be as direct a connection as possible, beginning at the intersection of US-64, US-276, and NC-280, approximately 3 miles east of Brevard in Transylvania County and terminating after junctioning with I-26 in Henderson County. Four corridor alternatives are under consideration.
(FHWA-NC-EIS-78-08-D)
(EIS ORDER # 81132)

Draft

Malecon Avenue, PR-14, Ponce 10/06

Puerto Rico
The proposed action involves extending Malecon Avenue, PR-14 from its present terminus at the PR-2 Bypass northerly to an interchange with existing Arenas Avenue, PR-14. The project is approximately 3.5 kilometers in length and is located in the Municipality of Ponce on the south central coast of Puerto Rico. The proposed highway will be a six-lane divided highway, with restricted access at specific intersections and interchanges. Just north of PR-2 Bypass, Malecon Avenue will bridge over the recently constructed Rio Portugues diversion channel. A bridge permit may be required, pending determination of jurisdiction by the US Coast Guard.
(FHWA-PR-EIS-78-01-D)
(EIS ORDER # 80937)

Final Date

I-65-3(52)(53) and M-7257(1), Lewisburg to Warrior 10/04

Alabama
County: Jefferson
Project I-65-3(52)(53) is a 14.5 mile, 4, 6 or 8 lane, limited access facility extending from Lewisburg (5-mile creek) to Warrior. Project M-7257(1) is a 0.4 mile, non-limited access, 4-lane facility beginning at the Mt. Olive Road Interchange and extending easterly to US 31 in Gardendale. The beneficial effects of the project will ease traffic movement in and through their corridors, safety benefits will accrue, economy and growth will be enhanced, and accessibility to public facilities and services will be enhanced.
(FHWA-ALA-EIS-78-01F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USCG HUD DOT USDA DOC
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81081)

Kuakini Highway Realignment 10/13

Hawaii
County: Hawaii
The proposed Kuakini Highway Realignment project, FAP Route 11, is located in the North Kona District on the Island and County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii. The project is 3.1 miles long, beginning at the intersection of Queen Kaahumanu Highway and Palani Road, and will terminate at the existing Kuakini Highway near the Keelakohaa Heiau. Project implementation will adversely affect the Great Wall of Kuakini and Kona Field System. An unspecified number of displacements will also result.
(FHWA-HI-EIS-76-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC AHP HUD EPA FPC DOT USDA
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81121)

Final

Kalanianaole Highway (FAP 72) Improvement 10/18

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
The proposed action is approval of a recommended improvement to upgrade transportation service capabilities of an existing highway corridor, located in the City and County of Honolulu, Oahu, Hawaii. A configuration of 4 and 6 highway lanes with an at-grade, exclusive and reversible express facility for the use of buses, vanpools, and carpools, depending on the final decision of the number of lanes built, is proposed and will cover a distance of approximately 4.2 miles. In the draft EIS a waterborne marine bus system was being considered, but has been deferred and if it is to be implemented in the future a separate EIS will be prepared.
(FHWA-HI-EIS-75-05-D(F))
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT HEW ARP DOC EPA USA NOAA USDA HUD
State and local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81134)

MD-404, Denton Bypass 10/30

Maryland
County: Caroline
Proposed is the relocation and/or reconstruction of Maryland 404 to provide a multilane highway facility around Denton, Maryland, from 1.2 miles east of Maryland 328 to Maryland 16, south of Denton. When on new locations, a four-lane freeway with a wide median section is envisioned. The freeway will have full control of access. Where the alternate follows the existing road, the construction will be a four-lane expressway with a lesser median width.
(FHWA-MD-EIS-77-02-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI EPA USDA DOC USCG
State and local Agencies
Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81178)

Final

MI-24 Extension, Caro to MI-25 Unionville 10/16

Michigan
County: Tuscola
Proposed is the extension of State trunkline M-24 from its existing northern terminus at M-81 in the Village of Caro, northwesterly 14.8 miles to M-25 at the Village of Unionville, Tuscola County, Michigan. The new rural roadway section would be a 2-lane, free access facility constructed to State trunkline standards within a minimum 150-foot right-of-way. Within Caro, a 5-lane section with curb and gutter would be constructed with a 100-foot right-of-way. In Unionville, the new roadway would consist of four lanes, with curb and gutter, constructed within the existing 66-foot right-of-way. Adverse effects include conversion of 20 acres of farmland to highway use. (FHWA-MI-EIS-77-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI COE USDA EPA FEA
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Individuals
(EIS ORDER # 81126)

MN-101, Shakopee By-pass 10/05

Minnesota
County: Scott
Proposed is the construction of relocated Minnesota Highway 101 (T.H. 101) in Scott County, Minnesota. The project begins at U.S. 169 in Jackson Township southeast of the City of Shakopee, proceeds easterly through Shakopee for 8.5 miles and ends on T.H. 101 at the west edge of the City of Savage. The action will provide a four-lane divided freeway with full access control, constructed to rural design standards. Of the 525 acres of additional right-of-way, 290 acres are agricultural and 8 acres are wetlands. (Region 5)
(FHWA-MN-EIS-77-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI DOT DOD FEC
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81085)

Dawson/McDowell/Cabarrus Sts. - U.S. 70-401 10/11

North Carolina
County: Wake
The proposed action is the improvement of traffic service in the south central portion of the Raleigh, North Carolina urban area. The 3.4-mile project extends from the intersections of Dawson and McDowell Streets with Cabarrus Street south to U.S. 70-401, and between Saunders Street on the west and Wilmington Street on the east. Three alternatives are proposed to alleviate the existing traffic congestion and to accomodate traffic demand to the year 2000. Adverse impacts include increased noise and carbon monoxide levels, stream modification, and residential and business dislocation.
(FHWA-NC-EIS-78-05-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW HUD COE EPA DOI DOI
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81104)

Final

I-40 and I-75, Knoxville 10/04

Tennessee
County: Knox
Proposed are two plans for the widening and reconstruction of approximately 5.5 miles of I-40 and I-75 within the Central City of Knoxville, Tennessee. Plan implementation calls for 6-lane roadways within the project limits with auxiliary lanes where required for traffic weaving and merging between certain interchange ramps. Adverse effects include the displacement of 37 households and 22 businesses; acquisition of approximately 21.5 acres for highway right-of-way; and increased noise and air pollution.
(FHWA-TN-EIS-77-05-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD TVA EPA
State and Local Agencies
Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81087)

TN-1, McMinnville to Sparta 10/20

Tennessee
County: Warren Van Buren White
The proposed action consists of the construction of app. 20 miles of State Route 1 from just east of McMinnville to Sparta; in Warren, White and Van Buren Counties, Tennessee. The proposed project extends northeasterly from a point at the end of the newly constructed section of TN-1, just west of the intersection of TN-1 and TN-30, to an eastern terminus at TN-111 near Sparta. The proposed highway is classified as a Principal Arterial in Tennessee's "1985 Statewide Functional Highway Classification Plan" and is planned as a four-lane facility.
(FHWA-TN-EIS-77-09-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD HEW DOI EPA ICC TVA USDA COE
State Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81142)

Final

I-264, Portsmouth & Norfolk 10/04

Virginia
County: Chesapeake
Proposed is the construction of new facilities which will complete the interstate segment between the present terminus of Interstate Route 264 in Portsmouth and Downtown Norfolk. The project would extend from Des Moines Avenue in Portsmouth to Brambleton Avenue in Norfolk, and would be between 2.3 and 3.0 miles in length. Adverse effects include the displacement and relocation of from 16 to 72 families, 2 to 6 businesses, and 0 to 3 non-profit organizations. Construction of the project facilities would require dredging of the Southern Branch of the Elizabeth River and disposal of dredge material. (Region 3)
(FHWA-VA-EIS-76-08-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG EPA DOI DOT
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81076)

WA-90, Junction WA-5 to Vicinity Junction WA-405 10/13

Washington
County: King
Proposed is the construction of an 8-lane Interstate Freeway (WA-90), extending from junction WA-5 to Vicinity Junction WA-405. Project length is 6.5 miles. Adverse effects include displacement of residences and businesses, the elimination of native vegetation, and the destruction of a small amount of wildlife. A 4(f) statement is included in reference to Sturgas Park, Judkins Playground and Luther Burbank Park.
(FHWA-EIS-75-05-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOD COE FEW HUD DOI DOT EPA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81120)

North Portion, Cheyenne Federal-aid Urban Systems 10/26

Wyoming
County: Laramie
Proposed is the construction of portions of the Cheyenne Federal-aid Urban System in the northern part of the City. Portions of Routes 4000, 4002, 4010, and 4037 and isolated intersection improvements in a 9 square mile area are included. Improvements will consist of constructing new arterials or upgrading existing roads to meet functional requirements. The project is located in Laramie County, Wyoming.
(FHWA-WYO-EIS-76-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD VA DOT EPA
State and Local Agencies
Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81165)

Final — Date

Evanston Street Improvements, US 30, WY-150, WY-89 — 10/03

Wyoming
County: Unita
Proposed are improvements to highways within the city of Evanston, Wyoming. The improvements consist of replacing an existing railroad underpass, building new bridges over the Bear River, widening U.S. Highway 30 and Wyoming Highway 150 through Evanston, and relocating a portion of Wyoming Highway 89 in Evanston. Adverse impacts include removal of several houses, businesses and municipal buildings, the relocation of families, and increased noise, water, and air pollution during construction. (Region 8)
(FHWA-WYO-EIS-77-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOI
State and local Agencies
Individuals
(EIS ORDER # 81064)

Draft Supplement — Date

The Appalachian Highway, GA-5 — 10/13

Georgia
County: Gilmer
This statement supplements a final EIS filed in April, 1978 concerning construction of the Appalachian Highway in Georgia. The statement proposes new alignments for two sections on GA-5, of four-lane, class 1, rural tree access highway in Gilmer County. The first section will be approximately 0.6 miles and will extend from 3 miles north of the Pickens/Gilmer County line to a point approximately 3.5 miles north of that line. The second section, a distance of 1.8 miles, extending from 4 miles north of the Ellijay City limits to a point approximately 6 miles south of the Gilmer/Fannin County line.
(FHWA-GA-EIS-77-04-DS-2)
(EIS ORDER # 81115)

Draft Supplement

Rail Relocation and Consolidation Demonstration 10/24

Nebraska
County: Lancaster
This statement supplements a draft EIS filed in July 1977. Proposed is a demonstration project of relocation and consolidation to alleviate highway/rail conflicts in Lincoln County, Nebraska. Changes in the corridors under consideration (C and D) include: 1) reduction of improvements to better accomodate changing traffic projection, and 2) the proposed consolidation of rail lines through the South Salt Creek Community Organization Area would be shifted westward from 3rd Street to a point closer to Salt Creek to minimize adverse neighborhood effects.
(FHWA-NEB-EIS-77-04-D-DS)
(EIS ORDER # 81159)

Durham East-West Freeway, I-85 to US 70 10/24

North Carolina
County: Durham
Proposed is the completion of portions of the Durham East-West Freeway in Durham County, North Carolina which extends from the vicinity of the I-85/US 70 interchange north-west of Durham to US 70 east of Durham. The total length of the project is approximately 10.9 miles. Approximately 4.3 miles of the total length have already been completed. The portions under consideration for construction are between US 15-501 and Erwin Road and the East End Connector and involve a total length of 4.4 miles.
(FHWA-NC-EIS-72-13-D-Revised)
(EIS ORDER # 81154)

University and Monroe Avenues, Improvement 10/04

Wisconsin
County: Brown
This statement supplements a draft EIS filed in February, 1977 concerning the upgrading and improvement of Monroe Avenue from Cedar Street to the East River and University Avenue from the East End River to Humboldt Road, a total distance of 2.9 miles, in the City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin. Included in the project is placement of a new six lane bridge to replace the existing Monroe Avenue bridge and a correction to a proposed I-43 interchange. Rehabilitation of the neighborhood to the north and south of University Avenue from Quincy Street to Forest Street is also included.
(FHWA-WISC-EIS-76-03-D)(S-1)
(EIS ORDER # 81080)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact
Mr. Peter Cook
Acting Director
Office Federal Activities
Environmental Protection Agency
Washington DC, 20460
(202) 755-0780

Draft — Date

Electric Utility Steam Generating Units, Standards — 10/20

National
The proposed action is the issuance of proposed standards of performance which limit emissions of sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, and nitrogen oxides from new, modified and reconstructed electric utility steam generating units capable of combusting more than 73 megawatts heat input of fossil fuel. A new reference method for determining continuous compliance is also proposed. The intended effect of these standards is to use the best demonstrated systems of continuous emission reduction and to satisfy the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977.
(EPA-450/2-78-007a)
(EIS ORDER # 81148)

EPA, REGION 10

Final — Date

Sinclair Inlet Wastewater Facilities — 10/11

Washington
County: Kitsap
Proposed is a Sewerage Facilities Plan designed to provide a wastewater treatment and disposal system for the Sinclair Inlet Water Resource Inventory Area No. 15 within Kitsap County, Washington. This statement identifies alternatives for providing wastewater facilities designed to meet residential and industrial needs as well as the maintenance of environmental quality. The study area covers approximately 100 square miles and has a population of 69,300, the majority of which is concentrated in the city of Bremerton.
(EPA-91019-77-047)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP COE DOC USDA HUD DOI USN DOI
State Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81106)

EPA, REGION 1

Final — Date

Androscoggin Valley 208 Plan — 10/20

Maine
This statement discusses the technical and management alternatives under consideration to deal with water quality problems of the Androscoggin Valley 208 Area from now through the next 20 years. The 208 Area consists of the towns of Lisbon, Sabattus, Lewiston, Auburn, Poland, Mechanic Falls, Minot, Oxford, Norway, and Paris. The various alternatives address themselves to the broad range of both point and non-point source water quality problems present in the Area. (Region 1)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81143)

SE Mass., 208 Water Quality Management Plan — 10/26

Massachusetts
County: Several
Proposed is a 208 Water Quality Areawide Management Plan for Southeastern Massachusetts and includes the Taunton River Drainage Basin, the Ten Mile River Drainage Basin, and the Coastal Drainage Areas. The statement discusses the technical and management alternatives which have been considered to deal with water quality in these areas for the next 20 years. Also included are local and industrial recommendations, and management designation recommendations. This final EIS combines three separate draft EIS's (#71236, #71237, #71238 filed with CEQ on October 3, 1977).
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT ARC
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81169)

EPA, REGION 2

Final — Date

Ocean Dumping of Sludge in NY Bight — 10/16

New York New Jersey
The statement concerns a proposal to choose an additional site for the ocean dumping of the increasing amount of sewage sludge from the New York-New Jersey industrial area. Recent studies, however, have led EPA to recommend continued use of the existing dump site with a comprehensive monitoring program and designation of an alternate dump site should the monitoring indicate a need for one.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW HUD COE ICI USCG EPA
State and Local Agencies
Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81128)

EPA, REGION 4

Draft — Date

Horsepen Creek Interceptor, Greensboro — 10/04

North Carolina
County: Guilford
Proposed is the awarding of grant funds to Guilford County, North Carolina for the purpose of developing a wastewater treatment system to service the Greensboro-Guilford County area of which the Horsepen Creek Interceptor is a part. The project consists of the necessary facilities to transport wastewater from the Horsepen Creek basin to the City of Greensboro wastewater collection system for treatment. Six alternatives are considered.
(EPA-904/9-78-021)
(EIS ORDER # 81079)

Final — Date

Trimble County Generating Station, Permit — 10/20

Kentucky
County: Trimble
The proposed project is to construct a new 2,340 megawatt coal-fired steam electric generating station on the Ohio River, in Trimble County, Kentucky. The facility would replace old units scheduled for retirement over an 8 year period starting in 1979, as well as provide for increasing demand for power. Two ravines adjacent to the generating site totalling 1,440 acres would be used for solid waste disposal. Also proposed is a new 9-mile long corridor to accommodate two 345-kV transmission lines. The proposed facility would be required to meet all New Source Performance Standards under NPDES permitting authority.
(EPA 904/9-78-017)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD ABE DOC NOAA USDA ICI DOE HEW
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81144)

EPA, REGION 5

Final | Date

Detroit Water Pollution Control Systems | 10/12

Michigan
County: Wayne Oakland Macomb
The proposed action involves federal financial assistance for the upgrading and expansion of an existing regional wastewater treatment plant in the City of Detroit, Michigan. The plant capacity would be expanded to treat an average daily flow of 600 mg with a 48 hour sustained peak flow of 1050 mgd. The plan also includes expansion of the associate collection system. Operation, maintenance, financial and management improvement changes will be incorporated as well as modifications to existing contracts and ordinances.
(EPA Region 5)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOT DOC HEW
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81051)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew F. Kauders
Executive Director, Environmental Affairs Division
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 566-0405

Final — Date

Mt. Vernon Campus Square, University of DC — 10/27

District of Co
The proposal discussed concerns the granting of funds to the University of the District of Columbia. The University is presently housed in 14 buildings in various sections of the District. Plans are being prepared by the University to consolidate the programs in new facilities located in downtown Washington, D.C. The new campus would accomodate 6517 full time equivalent students and would be located on four blocks directly north of Mt. Vernon Square in the northwest section of the City.
(EDC-78005)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOE EPA GSA HUD COE DCI
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81173)

Courthouse, Federal Office Building, Springfield — 10/10

Massachusetts
County: Hampden
Proposed is the construction of a new Courthouse and Federal Office Building in Springfield, Hampden County, Massachusetts by the General Services Administration. The proposed building will be multistory of reinforced concrete and/or structural steel frame. Interior parking will be provided for about 100 vehicles. The principal tenants in the building will be US Courts and related activity offices; the Departments of Treasury, HEW, Defense and Veterans Administration. Space will be provided for other Federal Agencies also. The proposed new building will be approximately 50,000 square feet to be acquired in the downtown area of Springfield. The facility will house about 372 employees.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOC EPA HEW HUD DOI DOT NEA SBA
State and Local Agencies
Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81099)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft — Date

Riverview Estates, Fresno — 10/03

California
County: Fresno
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the residential development of Riverview Estates in the City of Fresno, Fresno County, California. Development will occur on 354.39 acres of presently vacant grazing land. Initial development of 163.39 acres will provide 357 single-family homes, and 657 multiple-family units. The developer plans to build an additional 600-800 single-family units on the remaining 191 acres. Alternatives include no project, and other uses.
(HUD-RC9-EIS-1978-7D)
(EIS ORDER # 81070)

Ardenwood Village, Fremont — 10/04

California
County: Alameda
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for Ardenwood Village, Fremont, Alameda County, California. The subdivision is located on 317 acres of prime agricultural land and would provide 1,417 single family homes, a 2.1 acre commercial site, a 12 acre combined primary school and park site and 9.3 acres of 60 foot wide buffer strip. Three alternatives are considered which include: 1) no HUD participation in the project, 2) modification of project proposal, and 3) no project.
(HUD-RC9-EIS-78-8D)
(EIS ORDER # 81077)

Gentry-Waipo, Waipo, Oahu — 10/19

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the Gentry-Waipo, Honolulu County, Oahu Island, Hawaii. The project area is 510 acres and will contain an ultimate population of about 11,800. Development consists of 3,700 housing units on 278 acres, 120 acres for light industrial use, a commercial area of 14 acres, public facilities on 24 acres, and the balance in open space and rights-of-way.
(HUD-RC9-EIS-78-10D)
(EIS ORDER # 81136)

Draft

Toa Alta Heights Development, Toa Alta 10/13

Puerto Rico
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for development of two sections of the Toa Alta Heights Development, Toa Alta, Puerto Rico. The two sections involved, when completed, will provide 1,729 dwelling units and will involve 198.61 acres of land. Development will include both single and multi-family units, and community facilities.
(EIS ORDER # 81118)

Amhurst Subdivision 10/03

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the Amhurst Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. The subdivision encompasses approximately 340 acres and when completed, will contain approximately 1,672 sirgle family homes. Recreational facilities will be provided to serve the subdivision. Three alternatives are considered.
(HUD-R06-EIS-45D)
(EIS ORDER # 81065)

Morton Road Tract, Subdivisior 10/03

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the issuance of HUD mortgage insurance for the Morton Road Tract in Harris County, Texas. The subdivision encompasses approximately 237 acres of land and will be composed of about 435 units, primarily single family homes. Three alternatives are considered which include: 1) accept proposal as submitted, 2) accept with modifications, and 3) reject.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-43D)
(EIS ORDER # 81066)

Ricewood Tract, Highlands of Westlake 10/03

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mortgage insurance for the Ricewood Tract, Harris County, Texas. The proposed subdivisior is being developed in conjunction with another tract and is being marketed as the Highlands of Westlake. The area will encompass approximately 358 acres and will provide, when completed, approximately 1,400 sirgle family dwellings.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-44D)
(EIS ORDER # 81071)

Draft

Northwest El Paso Joint Verture, El Pasc 10/05

Texas
County: El Pasc
Proposed is the issuance of HUD home mcrtgage insurance for the Northwest El Paso Joint Verture, El Pasc County, Texas. Seven different, adjoining cwners of land, plar to develop approximately 2,106.6 acres as residential subdivisions. Included in the planned develcpment are needed and necessary support facilities, such as, ccmmercial, recreational, educational, fire and utilities. Three alternatives are considered.
(HUD-RC6-EIS-78-32D)
(EIS ORDER # 81084)

Final Date

Grandview Pines, Ltd., Millbrcck 10/06

Alabama
County: Elmore Millbrock
This statement concerns Grandview Pines, an crccing develcpment project on 530 acres of land located in Millbrcok and Elmore Counties, Alabama. A pcrtion of the develcpment is completed or under ccnstruction with the remainder under application for FHA mcrtgage insurance. Ccmaurity facilities and 1250 housing units will be corstructed over a ten year period with land reserved for cper space, recreational facilities, and commercial use.
(HUD-RC4-EIS-77-31F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA FEC CCE ICI AFP
State Agercies
(EIS ORDER # 81096)

Partial Development in Dover Valley, Fairfield 10/04

California
County: Solano
Proposed is the develcpment of 300 acres of vacant agricultural land into a residential subdivision which will provide 862 sirgle family homes, 165 multiple homes and 224 townhouses in Solanc County, California. Application has been made for HUD home mcrtgage insurance funds by the Hofmann Construction Company. Alsc included as part of the propcsal is the development of a 20 acre community park combined with with 23 acres for school sites.
(HUD-RC9-EIS-1978-1F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: GSA VA DOT HEW AHP ICI USDA
Local Agercies
Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81075)

Final

Belle Terre Development 10/23

Louisiana
County: St. John the Baptist
The proposed action is the securing of home mortgage insurance for a total of 1,600 housing units located between U.S. Highway 61 (Airline Highway) and Interstate 10 in LaPlace, St. John the Baptist Parish, Louisiana, approximately 15 miles west of New Orleans. The units are to be built over the next seven years; they will utilize a 900-acre site, and will consist of single family residences and an 18-hole golf course with club house, swimming pools, and tennis courts. Increased population of the area will have a long-term adverse impact on air quality and will increase noise and traffic levels.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-30F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA FEW COE ICI ICT EPA VA
State Agencies
Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81152)

Forest Park 10/03

Ohio
County: Hamilton Clermont Warren
Proposed is the development of 2,732 residential units including 210.02 acres of supplementary commercial/industrial land. This site is located in the City of Forest Park, Ohio and is approximately 14 miles north of Cincinnati. It is conveniently accessible to both I-275 and I-75. Upon completion of the applicant's four land development phases, the project will contain 2,732 residential units with 210.2 acres of industrial/commercial development of a total of 1,024.45 acres.
(HUD-R05-EIS-77-19F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOC GSA DOE DOI FEA
State Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81062)

Highland Creek Village Subdivision 10/02

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is for the Department of Housing and Urban Development to accept 541 acres for the Highland Creek Village Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas, for HUD-FHA mortgage insurance under section 203(B) of Title II of the National Housing Act of 1943. When complete the subdivision will contain approximately 2,400 single family homes. Beneficial impacts include providing needed housing with the growing community.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-9-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USA DOI EPA FPC DOT
State Agencies
Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81058)

Final

Colony Creek Village, Subdivision 10/11

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is for the Department of Housing and Urban Development to accept for HUD-FHA mortgage insurance purposes, the proposed Colony Creek Village Subdivision which is located in Northwestern Harris County, Texas, about twenty miles northwest of downtown Houston. The 181.73 acre site is north of and adjacent to Louetta Road. When completed in five years, the subdivision will contain approximately 818 single family homes plus recreational facilities to serve the subdivision.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-34-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE EPA DOI DOT HUD
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81107)

Atascocita North, Houston 10/24

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is acceptance of an application for home mortgage insurance for the Atascocita North Project located in the City of Houston, Harris County, Texas. This project will involve development of approximately 1,550 units of primarily single family dwellings. The entire site will encompass an estimated 388 acres of which 34 lie in the Houston City limits. The application was submitted by Homecraft Profit Participation Number One, Inc.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-35F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW ARF COE EPA DOT DOI
State and Local Agencies
Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81158)

Southbridge Subdivision, Houston 10/31

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is for the Department of Housing and Urban Development to accept for HUD-FHA home mortgage insurance purposes some 224 acres of land located in the City of Houston, Harris County, Texas. It is proposed that this tract of land be developed into a subdivision composed primarily of single family dwellings to provide approximately 1,000 units for an expected population of some 3,500 people.
(HUD-R06-EIS-78-36-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: ARF DOT EPA DOI COE USDA
State Agencies
Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81180)

Final

Homestead Planned Community 10/24

Washington
County: Spokane
Proposed is the issuance of FHA home mortgage insurance for the Homestead Planned Community located in Spokane County, Washington. The development will encompass 1,175 acres of land and will consist of community shopping facilities and campus areas for institutional services, a 2,534-unit residential community, and public services including recreational and educational facilities.
(HUD-R10-EIS-78-2F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA OSES
State and local Agencies
(EIS CEQ# # 81157)

Section 104(h)

Draft Date

Navy Yard City, Rehabilitation, Bremerton 10/30

Washington
County: Kitsap
Proposed is the rehabilitation of existing housing and improvement of public services in and around Navy Yard City, Kitsap County, Washington. The project will include: 1) improvement/resurfacing of streets along WA-3, 2) construction of sidewalks, 3) installation of a storm drainage system, 4) improvement of coverage of the sanitary sewer system, 5) construction of a new fire station, 6) construction of a covered play area, and 7) rehabilitation of existing housing.
(EIS CEQ# # 81176)

Final Date

Corcoran Fringe Wastewater Facilities 10/30

California
County: Kings
Proposed is the release of Federal funds by FHA for the construction of the Corcoran Fringe Wastewater Facility in Kings County, California. Three alternatives are under consideration as follows: 1) construction of a community collection system and connection to the existing facility, 2) construction of a community collection system and a treatment facility which would be independent of the existing City facility, and 3) rehabilitation of individual disposal systems and implementation of a maintenance district (no-project).
(HUD-R09)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT
State and Local Agencies
Individuals
(EIS ORDER # 81175)

Final

Golf Ranch/Centennial Industrial Park, Bristol 10/31

Pennsylvania
County: Bucks
Proposed is the Borough of Bristol's use of $428,000 from the 1975-76 and 1976-77 Community Development Block Grant Program for the acquisition of leasehold interests in the Borough-owned property generally known as the Golf Ranch. The intent is to terminate existing long term leases in order to make the land available for the proposed development of the site as an industrial park; the site is approximately 94 acres in size.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA HUD
State and Local Agencies
Groups and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81185)

4447 Duke Street Rehabilitation, Alexandria 10/06

Virginia
County: Fairfax
The proposal is an application for funds to rehabilitate the existing Shirley Duke and Regina Apartments located on Duke Street in Alexandria, Fairfax County, Virginia. The project activities include the replanning and reconstruction of the interior units to provide 1,585 one and 528 two bedroom units, replacement of roofs and interior utility systems, commercial construction of seven recreational areas, paving of parking areas and minor rehabilitation of the commercial area.
(HUD-DCO-35198-RM-SB)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DCD DOT
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81095)

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Richard I. Chais
Chief, Section of Energy
and Environment
Room 3373
12th & Constitution Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20423
(202) 275-7692

Final — Date

Southern Pacific, Discontinuance of Operation — 10/31

California
County: San Mateo Santa Clara San Francisco
The Southern Pacific Transportation Company proposes to discontinue its passenger train service between San Francisco, San Francisco County, through San Mateo County to San Jose, Santa Clara County, California, a distance of 47 miles. If discontinuance is authorized, the primary inter-urban mass transportation system in the West Bay Corridor of northern California will be eliminated. The Southern Pacific serves 24 intervening communities along Peninsula route. This petition does not consider the elimination of freight service.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HUD DOT FHP
State and Local Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 8118-)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Voss A. Moore
Assistant Director for Environmental Projects
P-518
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-8446

Final Date

Irigaray Solution Mining Project 10/02

Wyoming
County: Johnson
This action concerns an application for a source material license by the Wyoming Mineral Corporation to conduct production-scale solution mining of uranium at the Irigaray site, Johnson County, Wyoming, within the Powder River Basin. The project will affect approximately 1,000 acres of land at the site. The actions consist of leaching uranium from subsurface ore-bearing sandstone by adding chemical reagents to existing ground water to reverse the natural uranium precipitation process.
NUREG-0481
COMMENTS MADE BY: ABP USA DOE HEW DOI HUD EPA FERC USDA
State Agencies
Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81057)

OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Fred E. Morr, Chairman
Suite 208-20
Ohio River Basin Commission
36 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202
(513) 684-3831

Draft	Date
Water & Land Resource Plan, Ohio River Basin	10/02

Several
County: Several
Proposed is a Regional Water and Land Resource Plan for the Ohio River Basin in the States of Illinois, North Carolina, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Maryland, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia. The plan consists of: 1) 1,233, PL-566 and RC&D projects; 2) 3 navigation projects; 3) 8 reservoir projects; 4) 45 local flood protection projects; 5) 793 municipal water quality control measures; 6) 107 recreation areas; 7) 9 State wild and scenic rivers; 8) 101 natural areas; 9) 69 fish and wildlife areas; and 10) 2 hydroelectric power projects.
(EIS CEQ# 81059)

STATE DEPARTMENT

Contact: Mr. Cameron Sanders
Office of Environmental Affairs
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520
(202) 632-9169

Draft	Date
Incineration of Wastes at Sea, Ocean Dumping	10/04

National
Proposal is the participation of the US in negotiations to amend the Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution of Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter, to establish international regulations and guidelines for the incineration of wastes at sea to be enforced nationally. The purpose of the regulations and guidelines is to set standards to minimize adverse impacts on the oceans and the atmosphere from incineration at sea. Five alternatives are considered. (EIS CIDLR # 81072)

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Mr. Barry Flamm
Coordinator
Environmental Quality Activities
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Room 359A
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Forest Service

Draft — Date

Landmark Planning Unit, Boise NF — 10/11

Idaho
County: Ada
Proposed are ten alternatives and a selected plan for the Landmark Planning Unit located in the Boise National Forest, Ada County, Idaho. Under the proposed plan, timber harvest would be phased in at optimum rates of 5 million board feet per year for a ten year period. The planning unit would be divided into seven management areas. Some features of the alternatives considered are; 1) timber harvest, 2) sediment levels, 3) wilderness study areas, 4) wildlife habitat values, and 5) recreation development.
(USDA-FS-R4-DES(Adm)-84-78-10)
(EIS CEQ# 81105)

Quartz Mountain Land Management Plan, Kaniksu NF — 10/31

Idaho Washington
County: Bonner Pend Oreille
Proposed is a land management plan for the Quartz Mountain Planning Unit of the Kaniksu National Forest within the Idaho Panhandle National Forests located in Bonner County, Idaho and Pend Oreille County, Washington. The plan contains a preferred alternative with a mix of land uses with emphasis on timber management, wildlife, recreation and esthetic values. The plan will only effect the 64,920 acres of National Forest lands within the unit.
(USDA-FS-R1(04)-DES-ADM-79-02)
(EIS CEQ# 81181)

Ashland Land Management Plan, Custer NF — 10/31

Montana
County: Rosebud Powder River
Proposed is the selection and implementation of a land management plan for the Ashland Division Planning Unit, Custer National Forest, Rosebud and Powder River Counties, Montana. The unit contains 502,152 acres of Federal and other owned land. Six management alternatives are considered, which in addition to consideration of population, provide emphasis on: 1) partial accomodation of local livestock

range demand with restriction of other resources; 2) national and local demands for beef and timber output; 3) population increase; 4) roadless and undeveloped areas, 5) energy and population growth; and 6) livestock range, recreation and timber management. (R1-79-01)
(EIS ORDER # 81179)

Draft

Guadalupe Escarpment Wilderness, Lincoln NF 10/24

New Mexico
County: Eddy
Proposed is the inclusion into the National Wildlife Preservation System a total of 22,800 acres of land to be known as the Guadalupe Escarpment Wilderness. The area involved includes 21,000 acres in the Lincoln National Forest and 1,800 acres of adjacent land all located in Eddy County, New Mexico. Three alternatives are considered which include: 1) increasing the wilderness area by 2,000 acres, 2) increasing the wilderness area by 16,400 acres, and 3) continuance of the present management direction.
(USDA-FS-DES-03-08-79-01)
(EIS ORDER # 81155)

Malheur NF, 10-Year Timber Resource Plan 10/12

Oregon
County: Several
Proposed is a ten-year timber resource management plan for 1,540,423 acre Malheur National Forest located in Grant, Harney, Baker, and Malheur Counties, Oregon. The preferred alternative is an intensive management plan which will include: 1) a current level cut of 203.3 million board feet; 2) prompt reforestation; 3) stocking level control; 4) release of all plantations from other vegetative competitions; 5) construction of an average of 72 miles of road annually; and 6) other management features.
(DES-06-04-78-16)
(EIS ORDER # 81113)

Burnt Powder Planning Unit, Wallowa-Whitman N.I. 10/12

Oregon
County: Several
Proposed is a land management plan for the Burnt Powder Planning Unit located in the Wallowa-Whitman National Forest in the Counties of Baker, Grant, Malheur and Union, Oregon. The proposal will cover 670,778 acres of National Forest lands. The preferred alternative includes management or maintenance of: 1) wood fiber production, 2) big game winter area and habitat, 3) scenic and recreation characteristics, 4) municipal watershed values, 5) wilderness, 6) undeveloped forest characteristics, and 7) other features.
(USDA-FS-R6-DES(Adm)-78-17)
(EIS ORDER # 81114)

Draft

Norbeck Wildlife Preserve, Black Hills NF 10/03

South Dakota
County: Custer Pennington
Proposed is a land management plan for the Norbeck Wildlife Preserve of the Black Hills National Forest located in Custer and Pennington Counties, South Dakota. The Preserve encompasses 34,873 acres of National Forest, private, State or other Federally owned lands. The preferred alternative consists of: 1) allocation of the entire unit (with exception of the Norbeck RARE II Area and the Fire Creek Natural Area) for wildlife habitat improvement, 2) use of motorized vehicles for management activities, 3) closing of roads after management activities are completed, and 4) continuing use of existing main highways and roads serving private lands. (USDA-FS-R2-DES(ADM)FY-78-04).
(EIS ORDER # 81063)

Vegetation Management, Herbicides, Eastern Region 10/31

Several
County: Several
Proposed is the use of herbicides on National Forest System lands in the Eastern Region of the Forest Service which includes the States of Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New York, Ohio, Vermont, Pennsylvania, West Virginia and Wisconsin. It is estimated that approximately 45,000 acres of land will be involved annually in chemical vegetation control activities. Vegetation management will aid in the management of roads and trails, grazing areas, recreation development, special use areas, timber management and wildlife activities.
(USDA-FS-R9-FES-ADM-77-10)
(EIS ORDER # 81182)

Geothermal Leasing & Develop., Gifford Pinchot NF 10/20

Washington
County: Skamania Cowlitz
The proposed action is to determine which of 299,608 acres should be recommended for leasing on National Forest lands for developmental geothermal resources authorized by the Geothermal Steam Act of 1970. The proposed leasing areas lie completely within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Skamania and Cowlitz Counties, Washington. The lands have been designated by the Geological Survey as "Areas Valuable Prospectively" for geothermal resources and would be leased to the non-competitive lease applicants held by the Bureau of Land Management.
(USDA-FS-R6-DES(Adm)79-1)
(EIS ORDER # 81145)

Final

Tahoe NF Timber Management Plan 10/20

California
County: several
Proposed is a revision of the existing timber management plan which establishes a timber harvesting level and schedule for the Tahoe National Forest in the Counties of Nevada, Sierra, Placer, Yuba and Plumas, California. Seven alternatives are considered which vary from approximately 2,000 million board feet to 1,000 million board feet per decade of programmed harvest.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP CCE USDA DOI EPA
State and local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Etsiresses
(EIS ORDER # 81141)

Gospel Hump, Nezperce & Payette NF, Wilderness 10/03

Idaho
County: Idaho
Proposed is the recommendation for a Wilderness Study Area of 30,830 acres of National Forest land in the Nezperce and Payette National Forests in northern Idaho, and a land Management Plan for 289,034 acres of National Forest land in the Nezperce National Forest. Principal environmental impacts resulting from the recommendations in this EIS are associated with timber harvest and culture, treatment of big game winter ranges, off-road vehicle use and general wilderness use.
(USDA-FS-R1-17-FES-(ADM)-R1-78-4)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA DOI
State and local Agencies
Individuals
(EIS ORDER # 81068)

Bear Lake Planning Unit, Caribou National Forest 10/13

Idaho
County: Bear Lake Franklin Caribou
The purpose of the proposed plan is to determine what the long range land management direction should be for the Bear River Planning Unit located in the Caribou National Forest, Bear Lake, Franklin and Caribou Counties, Idaho. Many issues will be raised in this study to address possible conflicts including: 1) recreation vs water quality and wildlife; 2) timber harvest vs roadless areas, local economy; 3) grazing vs local economy, wildlife, aquatic environment (fish); 4) transportation vs water quality; 5) private land development vs resource management. The Bear Lake Planning Unit contains 343,289 acres of National Forest lands, State and private lands. (FS-R4-78-4)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA DOE
State Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81116)

Beartooth Face Unit, Custer, Gallatin, Shoshone 10/11

Montana Wyoming
County: Several
Proposed are the selection and implementation of a Land Management Plan for the 239,600-acre Beartooth Face Planning Unit; Custer (Montana), Gallatin (Montana), and Shoshone (Wyoming) National Forests. Park County, Wyoming contains 10,934 acres of the Planning Unit and the remainder is in Carbon, Stillwater, Sweet Grass, and Park Counties, Montana. Five alternate plans are presently being considered. The preferred plan proposes to use both surface and sub-surface resources, but to the extent possible, development would be balanced with protection of existing soil, air, water, visual, recreation, and wildlife values.
(USDA-FS-Adm-FES-01-08-77-11)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOE EPA USDA
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81102)

Troy Project ASARCO Inc, Proposed Mining Operation 10/26

Montana
County: Lincoln
This proposal concerns an application by ASARCO Incorporated for the issuance by the USFS for permits and leases to develop and mine approximately 48 million tons of copper-silver ore over a 19 year period in the Bull Lake Valley, Kootenai National Forest, Lincoln County, Montana. The ore would be milled at a plant site near the mine and transported to a copper smelter. The waste would be transported in slurry, through pipeline, to a tailing impoundment area for water recovery.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
State and Local Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81167)

Illinois Wild & Scenic River Proposal 10/19

Oregon
County: Josephine Curry
This statement recommends that 50 miles of the Illinois River be considered as an addition to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. The recommendation is to classify the river as follows: 3.8 miles from the mouth of the Illinois upstream to Nancy Creek as recreational; 28.7 miles from Nancy Creek upstream to Briggs Creek as wild; and 17.9 miles from Briggs Creek upstream to the Siskiyou National Forest boundary as scenic. The river segments are located in Josephine and Curry Counties, Oregon, all within the Siskiyou National Forest boundary.
(USDA-FS-FES-(leg)77-03)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA DOI DOC NOAA USDA FPC USCG
State Agencies
Groups, Individuals and Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81139)

Rural Electrification Administration

Final — Date

Western Farmers Coal Fired Plant & Transmission — 10/06

Oklahoma
County: Choctaw
This proposal involves the granting of REA loan guarantee funds and the issuance of an EPA NPDES permit to the Western Farmers Electric Cooperative (WFEC) for the construction of a new steam-electric generating plant on a 3,000 acre site and associated transmission lines in Choctaw County, Oklahoma. As part of the project coal will be obtained from the Powder River Basin and water will be obtained from the Hugo Reservoir. The transmission lines will run from the plant with approximately 18 miles of 345 KV line to the WFEC Valliant Substation and approximately 11 miles of line to the PSC Valliant Substation.
(USDA-REA-EIS(ADM)-78-9F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AEP HEW USDA DOI
State Agencies
Groups
(EIS CEQDB # 81109)

Black Fox Station Units 1 and 2, Guaranteed Loan — 10/12

Oklahoma
County: Rodgers
This statement concerns the use of REA guaranteed loan funds by Western Farmers Electric Cooperative of Anadarko, Oklahoma and Associated Electric Cooperative, Incorporated to finance a 39.13 percent undivided ownership interest in Units 1 and 2 of the Black Fox Station, Rodgers County, Oklahoma, consisting of two boiling water reactors. Steam turbine generators would use this heat to provide 1150 megawatts of electrical power capacity per unit.
(USDA-REA-EIS-Adm-78-4-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA DOI
State Agencies
Groups and Individuals
(EIS CEQDB # 81110)

Soil Conservation Service

Soil Conservation Service

Draft — Date

Big Sandy Creek Watershed, Trinity River — 10/30

Texas
County: Several
Proposed is a watershed plan for the Big Sandy Creek watershed in Clay, Jack, Montague, Tarrant and Wise Counties, Texas. The plan, which is partially completed, includes: 1) 57 floodwater retarding structures, 2) 31 grade stabilization structures, 3) land treatment measures on upland soils, 4) land stabilization measures on area land treatment measures on 2,925 acres of privately owned land, and 5) stabilization measures on 1,450 acres of the JJI National Grasslands.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-78-4-(D)-TX)
(EIS ORDER # 81174)

Final — Date

Elk Creek Watershed Plan — 10/24

Kansas
County: Atchison Jackson Nemaha
The proposed action concerns the Elk Creek watershed of the Delaware River which covers 89,036 acres in Atchison, Jackson and Nemaha Counties of northeastern Kansas. The action will consist of land treatment and structural measures to control flooding, erosion, grade instability; and the development of water and recreational facilities. One multipurpose structure for floodwater retardation, recreation, and water supply; and 70 grade stabilization structures will be installed on 1,796 acres of cropland, 1,052 acres of grassland and 746 acres of forestland and other land.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(Adm)-78-2(F)-KS)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA DOI EPA USDA FPA
State Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81153)

Beartown Flood Control NCSD Measures — 10/02

Mississippi
County: Pike
The project covered in this proposal concerns the elimination of flooding in an area located near Beartown Creek, Pike County, Mississippi. The project activities include the installation of 5,395 feet of channel work which consists of enlargement of 298 feet, construction of a new channel, channel clearing, and rock lining for stability. Also planned is the construction of a levee along the west channel, and lateral of 505 feet in length to bring flows back into the main channel.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-NCSD-ADM-78-2-D-MS)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA
State Agencies
Groups
(EIS ORDER # 81060)

Final

Mozingc Creek Watershed 10/31

Missouri
County: Nodaway
This statement concerns the Mczingo Creek Watershed located in Nodaway County, Missouri. The propcsed plar calls for accelerated land treatment alcng with the cre multiple-purpose structure and fcur grade-stabilizatior structures. In additicn 70 gully reaches will be treated with grade stabilization structures. The watershed is 18 miles lcng, ranges in width frcm cne tc 3.5 miles, and ircludes an area of 23,988 acres, 19,415 of which will be adequately prc-tected at the end of the irstallatien period.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USA HEW EPA USDA FEPC
State and Iocal Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81183)

White's Mill Flood Preventicr and Drairage, RC&D 10/04

South Carolina
Ccunty: Sumter
This propcsal concerns the management and alteratien of 145 acres of land in Sumter Ccurty, Scuth Carclina, to prevent flocding and improve drainage. Land treatment will include such measures as land leveling, cpen ditches, tile drains, field ditches, brush ccntrcl, and impreved management practices. The proposed structural measures ccnsist of about 0.7 miles of channel work with a bottcm width of three feet. All of the channel work will be cn previcusly altered ephemeral streams.
(USDA-SC-EIS-RC&D-(ADM)-78-1-(F)-SC)
COMMENTS MALE BY: DOD DOI DCC HEW EPA
State and Iocal Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81078)

Hungry Hall Flood Preventicr and Drainage 10/06

South Carclina
County: Clarendcn Sumter
The purpcse of this prcpcsal is tc eliminate flcoding and improve drainage on farm lards within Clarendcr and Sumter Counties, Scuth Carolina. The planned prcject will consist of land treatment and 3.5 miles of channel work cn pre-viously altered ephemeral streams. Land treatment mea-sures will consist of cn-farm drainage (open and closed), field borders, wind breaks and wildlife fccd and ccver planting. The main channel which is 2.4 miles lcng will have a bottcm width of 3 tc 8 feet, and the lateral will have a bottom width tc three feet.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-RC&D-(ADM)-78-2-(F)-SC)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DCC HEW EPA
State Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81090)

Final

Pine Creek Watershed, City of Oneida 10/03

Tennessee
County: Scott
Proposed is a watershed project to reduce floodwater damages to farmland and to floodplain within the City of Oneida, Tennessee. The plan calls for an increased amount of conservation land treatment; a multiple-purpose structure for flood prevention and municipal water supply; a multiple-purpose structure for flood prevention and recreation; and, channel work to increase design capacity and to incorporate improved SCS channel stability requirements. Adverse effects include the disturbance of 171 acres of flood plain.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-78(ADM)-2-F-TN)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD DOI HEW USDA EPA FEC TVA
State and Local Agencies
Businesses
(EIS ORDER # 81067)

Middle Fork-Obion River Watershed 10/25

Tennessee
County: Henry Weakley
Proposed is a project to reduce floodwater damages to farmland and flood plain within the Middle Fork-Obion River Watershed, Henry and Weakley Counties, Tennessee. Planned project measures remaining to be installed include conservation land treatment measures, three single-purpose flood water retarding structures, and about 14.6 miles of channel work.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-78-2-F-TN)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW USDA EPA
State Agencies
(EIS ORDER # 81102)

SUMMARY OF 102 STATEMENTS FILED THROUGH
OCTOBER 1978
(By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for Actions on which no Final 102's have been rec.	Final 102's on Legislation and Actions	Suppl.	Total Actions on which Draft or Final Stat have been rec.
Agriculture, Department of	206	954	20	1180
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	0	1
Canal Zone Government	0	2	0	2
Civil Aeronautics Board	1	3	0	4
Commerce, Department of	30	105	35	170
Consumer Product Safety Comm.	0	1	0	1
Defense, Department of	14	10	2	26
Air Force	7	33	2	42
Army	0	36	5	41
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	573	1236	95	1904
Navy	10	49	6	65
Delaware River Basin Comm.	4	8	0	12
Energy, Department of	6	11	2	19
Energy Policy Office	0	1	0	1
Energy Research & Devel. Admin.	4	18	0	22
Environmental Protection Agency	60	159	12	231
Federal Energy Administration	11	14	6	31
Federal Maritime Commission	3	0	0	3
Federal Energy Regulatory Comm.	86	72	6	164
Federal Trade Commission	1	0	0	1
General Services Administration	24	120	5	149
Great Lakes Basin Commission	1	0	0	1
HEW, Department of	3	20	0	23
HUD, Department of	100	455	11	566
Interior, Department of	166	449	17	632
International Boundary and Water Comm. -- U.S. and Mexico	0	10	0	10
Interstate Commerce Commission	11	20	0	31
Justice, Department of	2	3	0	5
Labor, Department of	5	9	0	14
Nat'l. Aeronautics & Space Admin.	10	27	5	42
Nat'l. Capitol Planning Comm.	5	9	0	14
Nat'l. Science Foundation	0	7	0	7
New England River Basins Comm.	3	0	0	3
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	53	198	17	268
Office of Science Technology	0	1	0	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	5	1	0	6
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corporation	0	1	0	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	0	1
State Department	6	9	0	15
Tennessee Valley Authority	9	33	0	42
Transportation, Department of	983	2535	70	3588
Treasury, Department of	4	7	0	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	2	0	0	2
U.S. Postal Service	3	6	0	9
U.S. Water Resources Council	7	9	0	16
Veterans Administration	6	13	0	19
TOTALS	2429	6655	316	9400

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

102 MONITOR

The 102 Monitor is a monthly publication of the Environmental Protection Agency. In addition to its listing of Environmental Impact Statements which have been filed under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; information on the environmental studies of the Council on Environmental Quality; and other matters of interest.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $20.00 annually, with an additional $5.00 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.70 domestic and $2.15 foreign and are available from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box SSOS, Washington, D.C. 20402.)

Prices revised May, 1978

CHANGE OF ADDRESS FORM

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

Mail this form to: NEW ADDRESS

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office SSOM
Washington, D.C. 20402

Attach last subscription label here.

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

ENTER MY SUBSCRIPTION TO: 102 MONITOR (MNT)

@ $20.00 Domestic; @ $25.00 Foreign

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

☐ Remittance Enclosed (Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents)

☐ Charge to my Deposit Account No. .....

MAIL ORDER FORM TO:
Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402